

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 21-279

---

Judges:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

February 16, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a justice of the peace and a pro tem justice of the peace violated the law.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher W. Ames did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 16, 2022.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2021-279**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

A.R.S 13-2314.04 (N). Racketeering; unlawful activity; civil remedies by private cause of action; definitions

- Allowed the filing and use of a frivolous motions to stricken substantially relevant evidence from court record.
- Allowed the use of tamper/falsified records in securing judgement.
- Secured judgement based on falsified, limited, and/or tampered evidence.

28 USC. § 1631. Transfer to cure want of jurisdiction / 17b A.R.S rule 15 Relief from Judgement or Order

- Denied (3) defense motions filed in good faith to transfer case to appropriate jurisdiction or vacate/set aside judgement reached under duress of further evidential defense.
- Violation of 5th Amendment right to due process outlined in US Constitution.