State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-294

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 24, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge denied him the right to be heard, was biased against him, and had allowed the county probation department to discriminate against him.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 24, 2022.



CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007



2021-294

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I am a pro per Defendant. I am on	with	County	they are officers
of the			

The allegations I proffer in my complaint against The Honorable

are direct & simple

1. He has been the judge assigned to my case since about , when he replied to a motion I had made to Judge

2. On I filed a motion for clarification of a probation issue & for absolute discharge. I am including a copy [annexed heretoas exhibit #2] as it contains critical information to aid you in understanding the situation, especially exhibit 1. $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{O} \cup \mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{O} \cup$

3. The core complaint is NOT simply that he DENIED my motions. It is that he failed to follow usual protocol/due process. He summarily dismissed, without affording the parties to brief the matter. I offer [annexed exhibit #3] a court order judge rendered on that denotes that protocol & his awareness of it. [annexed exhibit #4] shows the failure to afford due process & adhere to protocol. In essence, he inhibits my rights to be heard. Manifesting bias upon me in favor of other party, the

4. The more serious matter I complain of is that judge as a result of his DENIAL of the motion & a similiar earlier motion filed by me in he is manifesting willful conduct prejudicial to the adminstration of justice that brings the judicial office into disrepute [article6.1 4]

judge is abusing his authority, in acting to protect the from the complaints I have alleged of them & requested the court's intervention. These are gravely serious complaints. The complaints assert that The have been for several years targeting me or discriminating upon me for being a homo sexual & have willfully coerced treatment providers with a motive of protecting their million dollar contracts with the and also the has in , subjected me to chronic criminal conduct & organized sex trafficking and when I reported such to the of the other sex offenders doing the sex trafficking,

did NOTHING about it, leaving me, the sex trafficked victims & the community as a whole in grave peril. Then, refused to pursue prosecution of the sex offender probationer's because such would expose

gross failures in the matter. Lastly, that not only blatantly targeted me as a homo sexual but disparately treated me & demonstrated a deference to hetro sexual offenders. Punishing me for the slightest technical violkation or issue & allowing hetro sexual offenders to frequently not be held accountable for [serious] matters.

Judge is aware of all of this, as my complaints to the court/motions, have deatiled such and judge avers in his order [annexed exhibit 5] that he has reviewed my motions and [the case file],



CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007



2021-294

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Hence is manifesting a tolerance for sexual orientation discrimination, gross misconduct by subjecting me to both criminal conduct at the hands of other offenders.

Judge , DENIAL, refusing to address the matters or as I request, compel an investigation, has also the horrible affects of [leaving me] supervised by the officers of the court, that he has been well informed are blatantly targeting me, committing gross unethical conduct against me and grossly violating my due process & equal protection rights.

Even worse, Judger refusal to act appropriately and instead act to shield the acts to FAIL to protect victims of sexual abuse/trafficking & to locate & identify sex crime victims that I have reported to the Lastry, judge has the ability to take judicial notice of the credible reporting that I made against the other probationer's & see to it they are violated & prosecuted for their horrific crimes of sex trafficking members of the community. His desire to shield/protect the is apparently, outweighing his concern for his OATH, for the rule of law and sadly for the safety of the community.

Judge is NOT the only one culpable, though the current issues are best related to him at this time. Judge's have had matters in detail reported to them, by me, since Each, has opted to not act.

I offer you [annexed exhibit 1] that details the beginning of the campaign by the manifesting bias against me because I am a homo sexual, it cover's the FALSE arrest & placing me in jail, for consensual ADULT contact that does NOT violate any term of probation. That's why the matter was dismissed. I offer it to you so you can view for yourself the length the will go in targetting homo sexuals and judge awareness of it and refusal to act to compel it to end.

I absolutely qualify [overwhelmingly] for absolute discharge from probation. Though judge for some illogical purpose, disregards that & clearly is disregarding my due process rights.

Being left supervised by the is profound mental torture. It affects me every day. That is because I am a person who has taken his rehabilitation very seriously. To see the acts as they do & judges of the refuse to cease it. Just compounds the horridness of the abuse I have endured at that

hands of the

Irrespective of me, it very seriously saddens me, to know the grave peril sex abuse victims remain in and the public at large Because judge is more concerned in protecting [his subordinates] that protercting the public or victims.

I have to say I do NOT feel judge deserves the honor of continuing to serve on the bench in any capacity.

His OMISSIONS Allow To Continue IN Willful Misconduct nod offenders Who Sex Trafficked, To See They Will NOT Be Punished, 3



COUNTY

DIY QUAD (PRC) XCA MAG APO: S Interpreter: NO Veteran: NO DFFENSE: 1st 2" X Ineligible Prop 302 Finding made on

Cause Number:

PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION WARRANTLESS ARREST

BOOKING

The defendant was formally adjudged guilty of the crime of COUNT 5: ATTEMPTED SEXUAL CONDUCT WITH A MINOR, A CLASS 3 FELONY AND DANGEROUS CRIME AGAINST CHILDREN IN THE SECOND DEGREE, COMMITTED ON **OR BETWEEN**

Original Conditions Signed: Probation Start Date: Reinstated Date:

Prob. Length: Lifetime Prob. Length: Lifetime

Standard Standard

This officer has reason to believe that the defendant has failed to comply with the following term(s) of probation: #21: The defendant did not abide by the special conditions of Sex Offender.

the defendant was engaging in sexual relations by providing 5: The defendant between, massages to men in the nude, which included their penises. , the defendant was in possession of a massage table that he was

8: The defendant between using for the purposes of providing sexual stimulation.

Term of Robation Prohibits Consual ADULT Contact

VICTIM STATUS: The victim has not opted-in for post-conviction notice of probation matters.

EXHIBIT ONE

() Bond Set at \$

() No Bond. Rule 7.2(b). Rules of Criminal Procedure

I affirm under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

SM: Note: A \$45.00 Superior Court warrant fee will be imposed if a bench warrant is issued for failure to pay outstanding fines and fees.

PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION / WARRANTLESS ARREST

Defendant, by COUNTY , through his undersigned attorney, requests, that the Court dismiss the Petition to Revoke filed for the reason that:

- the allegations do not allege a violation of the terms of probation,
- lack sufficient specificity to allow the Defendant to prepare a defense.
- 3. are duplicitous.

MEMORANDA

Defendant is charged with 'engaging in sexual relations' with men alleged in violation of term of his probation. Term as imposed by this Court on refers to having a sexual relationship with any person who has children under the age of 18. The allegation by its own terms fails to allege a violation of that term.

Defendant is charged with being in possession of a massage table in violation of term . Term as imposed by this court

EXHIAIT ONE

requires written approval of the APO before possessing any sexually stimulating or sexually oriented material in any form. A massage table in itself is not sexually stimulating or sexually oriented material.

Additionally, the state has not made these allegations with sufficient specificity to give the Defendant or counsel sufficient due process notice as to which events in his life he is required to defend.

In .

-

the court stated:

While the allegations in a petition to revoke probation do not require the same particularity of an indictment or an information, in all fairness, the allegations as to a violation should be fully and clearly set forth in the petition so that the probationer might be informed, by written notice, as to that which he will be called to defend.

Wherefore, the Petition to revoke does not contain allegations which allege a violation of probation in a constitutional manner and should be dismissed. THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE REMAINDER OF THE COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER, PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST IN WRITING TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND REFERENCE THE COMMISSION CASE NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.