

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 2021-295

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

February 9, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge (now retired) impersonated a federal official.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Christopher W. Ames and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 9, 2022.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2021-295**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: 1 Judge's Name \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

For his complaint, \_\_\_\_\_ alleges  
\_\_\_\_\_ Judge,  
violated; Rule 81, Section(s) 1.1 - Compliance with the  
Law, section 1.2 - Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary,  
Section 2.3 - Harassment, Section 2.15 - Responding to  
Judicial and Lawyer Misconduct and Rule 42, (Group  
5 violations (see In re Phillips, 2016 Ariz 112 (2016))  
more specifically ER 5.1 - Supervisory Responsibilities,  
ER 5.2 - Subordinate Responsibilities, and ER 5.3 - Nonlawyer  
Assistant Responsibilities. The following violations  
are supported herein; (1) ARS 13-2409 - Obstruction,  
(2) ARS 13-2702 - Perjury - False Statements, and (3) ARS 13-2810 -  
Interference. Should the judiciary committee or panel  
vote to convict on any of the forementioned violations,  
the presumptive sanction under the Arizona Constitution,  
article 6.1, section 3 and 4, notwithstanding ARS 13-713 -  
Forfeiture of Benefits - should be imposed.

Complaint:  
In \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ traveled to \_\_\_\_\_,  
\_\_\_\_\_ where \_\_\_\_\_ resides on an  
\_\_\_\_\_ expense report to serve a  
State - \_\_\_\_\_ federal grand jury indictment on  
"stake" \_\_\_\_\_ his target. \_\_\_\_\_ was accompanied by an entourage of  
state secretaries and clerks from the \_\_\_\_\_ County

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-295

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Public Defender's Office, boss Judge  
appeared and introduced himself as US Attorney  
Office secretary  
appeared appeared as U.S. Attorney

are not investigating  
crimes that reported or committed, instead, they  
are using a microphone to fabricate/manufacture  
and stage crimes with fake ~~indictments~~ reports and  
victims to make unconstitutional arrests and  
detentions.

On the night prior to the arrest,  
accompanied by federal investigators and state  
authorities in \_\_\_\_\_ was observed in a bar  
called "\_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_\_ where he drank  
so many \_\_\_\_\_ shots he fell on the floor  
drunk requiring patrons to help him to his vehicle,  
clearly, \_\_\_\_\_ suffers from habitual intemperance  
requiring immediate treatment.

complaints that \_\_\_\_\_ has been intercepting  
his personal and corporate mail since around \_\_\_\_\_  
until present with "fake" \_\_\_\_\_ county  
mail cover paperwork. One instance in particular,  
mailed a chip resetter to China (a \_\_\_\_\_)

electronic unit requiring a stamp.  
was able to contact the  
post office with "fake" paperwork to  
reroute / intercept the package. According  
to witness, opened the package in  
and destroyed the contents absent  
any search warrant or cause. (a loss).  
is the Chairman of the Board and  
CEO of.

complaints that, has  
him with the unlawful use of Courthouse  
Data Link, "computer tracking  
software. (see US vs. Christensen, 801 F.3d  
970 (2015) - holding the unlawful use of  
investigative software on a target executive  
to "a company for hostile takeover  
or personal gain amounts to aggravated  
identity theft implicating the Federal R.I.C.O.  
statute. (Racketeering).

has reported approximately  
in unrecoverable losses due to  
investigative software and mail fraud schemes.  
A reasonable person of average intelligence would  
conclude that delusional behavior and  
irrational thought processes are directly  
correlated to habitual intemperance.

The foregoing statements and claims are true and correct to the best of my knowledge under penalty of perjury.

DATED this      day of

Complainant \_\_\_\_\_