State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-308

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 23, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner incorrectly ruled on a matter, was biased against her, and attempted to improperly influence her right to review.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 23, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-308

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

conduct the review of the application of modification of the child support order. Judge Judae was informed by petitioner that the purpose of increasing his children child support was unjust s stating that has stated in the past that if gave up his parental rights due to gave up his parental rights turther explained that this was Mother would not ever ask to support his children. agreement that Attorney has agreed too in Jude Lawyer and court requested to sever all his rights for his case where Children that he had abused verbally and physical while in his care. The charges were sustained By Department of child safety and Family court appointed Investigators. The Attorney explained to Judge that case wa was referring to that had submitted to family court were Not appropriate due to Juvenile case was closed with prejudice and those documents and discussion about juvenile case can't be used and shouldn't be on family courts systems, attorney decline to further discuss the sealed Juvenile court case. Unfortunately Judge and choose to continue to refer and guestion conduct of Jude court in regards to plea that Judge in juvenile court could make decision of never being asked to the sealed case in Juvenile court, the obligor did not appear in the juvenile court case by Choice in which he sent a letter with his public defender stating ' was having a hard time so he has choose not to appear due to emotional state." Judge further requested a continuance due to reports that he was told that he it was approved by judge and never received paper work. was encourage to seek Legal was stating that he was miss lead by mother and mother lawyer to give up assistance because doesn't wants to support his children because he gave up his rights. his rights, and reopen the child support case after Juvenile court case was final in witch i have the right to request assistance for my children. reports that he would never have to pay child support and attorney general also encourage Judge that no court would make those type of decision. Judge ruled in Favor where he lowed the worksheet amount of to the amount of because judge claims that his ruling was because he was upset with how the mother the case. Mother had legal team and explained to the court there was no communication between mother and Father Judge also attempted to get mother to waive her right to review his finds because stated " It doesn't make a difference because my decision has been made. Judge Th CAA report from the court appointed investigator has submitted documents that were file and Jude was informed by mother several times that shoose to sever his right to avoid consequences of the court finding of abuse charges that were sustained by CCA and department child safety with recommendation of supervised visit and treatment to deal with anger and parenting classes should be left alone with an children, Also Department of child safety has also pulled before finger print clearance card ti ensure he has no contact with minors. Judge Conduct was direct violation of and her children rights and his disregard to acknowledge the information CCA investigator, Attorney seems reckless and has the potential further jeopardize the safe of minors due to has other child in his home that may be subject to the same abuse the children nave occurred in care. The Justification of Judge ruling where He stated " has no factual evidence but Judge feels that the mother and her legal team miss lead " so the work sheets amount are standard but Judge will make a executive amount of support sole based judge decision to lower personal bias opinion that judge was up set with mothers action's that were based off accusation of abuse ? that were never Vaildated? Although Attorny and CCA and DCS investigators have submitted documentations stating that has been verbally and physically abusive to his minor children while they were in his custody and has been filed with family court documentations stating anthony lacks insight and was recommend that he seek treatment by family court investigator to obtain parenting skills. Judge rights by allowing has committed a breach of to attempt to use sealed with prejudice

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-308

NT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to f words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the () names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

So In Judge Court ruling in the matter modification of child support order Judge /decision was bias because all facts and support documentation that claims doesn't exist/ because continued to committed Perjury on and was unable to present and documents stating his claims of a verbal agreement with . Where stated That would never request support is false and although that documents exist in family court in which reports of abuse and validates all claims of child abuse on supports were sustained and valid, as Judge choose to state that all abuse claims were still just allegations that were not proven valid in which that is incorrect and supporting documents have been was aware because he looked up and seen that there were filed with the court and Judge assigned court investigator on the original court date on and documents were abuse was validated were submitted on misconduct of using and allowing Judge to attempt to use information from sealed case with prejudices attached although advise Judge that was violation of rights and Judge explanation of ruling for the abuser where he lowered than amount of court work sheets of to and did not make it to avoid back payments seem very unjust , bais and very retroactive to to help neglectful. Family court was not protecting the best interest of Victims of and direct abuse claims that has been documented by several different government agency that taught identify and report abuse was overlooked by Judge times and it show s that Judge Ruling was unjust and violation of victims rights and has allowed the ability to avoid being held accountable for his actions and the possibility to further continue to victimize and neglect his children finical needs according to standardize child support work sneets state requirements. Possible continue to abuse his child in home. Decision by Family court made on show no compassion or disregard for the victims, and Judge has choose to neglect the factual documents that support that lacks insight on parenting skills and is danger to minors due to his explosive behavior in which had displayed , when was offered police escort from the court house due exploding in Family court on when emergency injunction was granted by Family court. Family court is to protect the best interest of the victims not allow the victimize to choose to refused to held accountable for their actions. was in great distress in due to action of abusive that put my child life in jeopardy. has the right to change her mind and family has court declined to remove child support and arrears in the past. and her legal team broke no rules or never mislead during this long process. Judge misconduct is proven due to his comments of '

and his continued statement that appeals will not affect his Decision. Judge decision is safety issue and unjust due to documents that supports that is a child abuser. Very neglectful and with total disregard of legal system in place to protect victims in family court.