State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-312
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

May 18, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a justice of the peace made incorrect legal rulings, allowed "forged" documents to be submitted into evidence, and did not properly review pleadings.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 18, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Name:

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-312

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name:_

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint! Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
Law Offices permitted to file COM-
PlAINT Without DRIENDANT Signature
FORJERY-DEFENDANT Stated that was not her
Signature in hearing,
Defendant did not sign any of the eleven (1)
Last 3 notices not received by Judges
and , f
Judges had filed Rulings, Appellant, had moved the
Court to BULING REBUTTEL.
Judges failed to read Answer to Complaint,
Judges failed to read APPEllANT MEMDRANDUM.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-312

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
what you believe the judg times, and places that w	form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint/Describe in your own words go did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, ill help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached iginals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and int for your records.
Judges fa	sted to give legal documentation for
USING "forge	d' Synature, opposing Model Penal
Codif Ses-	rions for "forgery," of Appellant/Defendent
Statedin Co	emplaint/Answer and Appellant Nemoran Dum
	Staff failed to see there was BYERY
OF CONTRA	IT: Haintance Maintenance did
Come in	and did "Vandalezy" bath tub".
	Staff prior to , failed to
asknowle	dge INCIDENT REPORTS; Written to the
staff.	
Their nega	Grenterby, Law Offices, Coursele Sudge, HOME 1655.
has left	HOME 1655