

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-317

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 23, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a pro tem superior court judge incorrectly ruled on his Rule 11 proceedings and his attorney's motion to withdraw.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 23, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-317

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name _____ Judge's Name _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge is over-seeing my proceedings.
So far I have had evaluation interviews
and on I had an
evaluation scheduled with an Dr. due
to the evaluation being undecided. Dr.
was the tie breaker and her scheduled
interview was never fulfilled. On I
had my competency Hearing scheduled and due to
not fulfilling her scheduled interview
I was ordered to do classes. What Judge
failed to do was confirm with
whether she conducted the evaluation hearing.
Myself as well as of the
State of Arizona tried to obtain audio/video
recordings of the hearing but although
there was a Court Reporter present there or the court
decided not to RECORD the Hearing.
Also on the hearing Judge allowed
my Lawyer to withdraw from my case
during my or without completing my Competency
proceedings without REASON, I know I did not
receive a fair Evaluation Hearing on
under Judge Dr. I
never presented any type of Documentation
her Documents regarding
Evaluation Interview.