State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-338				
Judge:				
Complainant:				
0				

ORDER

March 30, 2022

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace (now retired) refused to define a document.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Christopher W. Ames and Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 30, 2022.

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct

Subject: Judicial Misconduct Complaint: Judge Order Possible Insurance Fraud By Judge

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom this may concern at Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct,

I wish to file a Complaint on Hon

An apartment complex evicted me with a suspicious letter that appears to be a forged letter. Pro Term was the Eviction Action Judge.

Hon is the current presiding judge.

from the Attorney Disciplinary Board and told me to take the document to the Judge to have it defined.

I filed a motion for the Judge to define the document.

The Judge refused to define the document and is making me pay for attorney fees.

An attorney told me that when judges make these kinds of decisions it's insurance fraud.

The Attorney stated that insurance companies get copies of all court filings.

If a Judge rules an apartment complex submitted a forged letter to wrongfully evict that tenant, that the insurance company will have an issue with that.

Judge punished me to make the ruling look good for insurance company.

Attorney's Answer did not provide any authenticity of the letter, nor did they prove it was ever delivered to me when it was their lead off eviction document that they told Judge and Attorney Disciplinary board was the letter with "Remedy and Details" that they stated "another law firm mailed me". That law firm denied mailing it to me.

The eviction attorneys were attorneys

The eviction exhibit was a two page letter dated that had the dates

altered to reflect

The letter was not attached to any of notices and was only shown to me one time in Court, and they did not provide me a copy.

After the eviction REFUSED to send me a copy.

Court REFUSED to provide me a copy until I filed a police report on them and , and attached it to a motion.

I made complaints to and the Attorney Disciplinary Board and they told me to take the suspicious letter to the Judge to define it.

Judge refused to define it, mischaracterized my motion as a letiation document by stating it's long past time for an appeal, and made me pay attorney legal fees with interest to defraud insurance companies and collection agencies. When the interest gets to a certain amount they will sell it to a collection agency.

Hon is sending me to Court" to define the document.

Hon is forgetting:

- 1. Judge violated my civil rights by refusing to give me a copy of the letter at the hearing when I requested it.
- 2. The Court refused to provide me with the eviction exhibit for months and only provided it to me because I filed police reports on them and attached it to Motions.
- 3. The Attorneys refused to provide me a copy of their " eviction exhibit before our hearing, during our hearing and after our hearing.
- 4. The attorney Disciplinary Board has no problem with refusing to send me the letter.
- 5. Offices refused to answer any of my motions to the court to compel them to explain why is using their letter to me with the dates changed to and the attorney listed on the letter left the law firm in late to practice law in .

Everyone listed above refused to provide me with the document, and then Hon had the audacity to complain to me in her order that the time passed for an "Appeal" hearing when that was not the purpose of my Motion.

Hon could have ordered me to take the document to someone else without ordering me to pay attorney fees, considering all the evidence that I had provided that the Court withheld the eviction letter from me, in violation of my Constitutional and State rights. The Court is a party to this confusion and delay which Judge refused to consider.

This is a purposeful and premeditated ruling by Hon that violates my constitutional rights to a fair trial and defrauds the other parties involved, their current insurance company and the future collection agency.

If this goes unpunished, then it's clear apartment residents do not have a right to a fair trial in County Consolidated Court.

Considering Hon history of stealing answer keys for judicial orientation tests, I hope you take my complaint seriously and do not give her the benefit of any doubts.

County

Courts, Arizona

CASE NUMBER:		_	
		<u> </u>	
	_	3-	
Plaintiff(s) Name / Address / Email / Phone	Defendant(s) Name / Ad	ddress / Email / Phone	
☑ MOTION TO: Define Suspicious Le	etter that was submitted to Court at	hearing by Pla	intiff
☐ RESPONSE TO MOTION ☐ REPLY TO RESPONSE			
If you have received this motion you have the rigil was served. Your response must be filed with the provided by Rule 120 of the Justice Courts Rules your consent that the motion be granted.	court and copies of your response must be	be served to the o	ther parties as
am the Plaintiff Defendant			
would like the court to: Define the suspicuous document Plaintif	f submitted to the court to evict Def	endant dated	
Does this sucpcious	letter constitute an altered or forged	d instrument or	letter that was
submited tc Court on	SEE attached typed Motion	& all Exhibits v	which are sets
of the suspicious Plaintiff Exhibit, one se	t with notations & other set without	notations & oth	ner documents
Statement of facts: Plaintiff submitted to Court a letter dated	which is the sa	me	letter Plaintiff
mailed Defendant in that resulted in	n Defendant eviction. Their suspicion	ous	exhibit letter
has the exact identical body to it as a let	ter Plaintiff mailed Defendent in	from	Gr
with the dates altered & cursive signature	e removed leaving the attorney's ty	ped signature	& in letterhead.
Legal support including Statute or Rule that applie (1) A.R.S. 13-2002 - forged or altered do	ocuments. (2) 13-2702. Perjury; cla		
(§ 1341 mail fraud; (4) Rule 121: Disclos			
Plaintiff suspiciously submited an altered	document to Court & Confessed to	o Court & Bar t	hey never Served
Defendant with suspect Notice of Breach		CALL THAT IS COME AT THE CALL THE CALL	
I state under penalty of perjury that the foregoing	is true and correct.	120 02	Motun
Date Plaintiff X Defendant			/
I CERTIFY that a copy of this document	has been or will be mailed on	to:	
	iff's attorney Defendant at the above add	dress Defenda	ant's attorney
Date:	_ By _ Signature		

See Attacked Documents

COUNTY	CONSOLIDATE	COURT, AR	IZONA
CIVIL MOTION: Fr	om Defendant	t	
Plaintiff:			
Vs:			
Defendant:			
Case Number:		Date:	
Presiding Eviction A	ction Pro Tem Ju	udge: Hon	
Last Known Ruling J			
Plaintiff on as (2) That pertaining who are connected to this receiving this Motion; or i Court sees it as consent to Arizona State Bar Disciplir	listed in "Line 1" of compared to the to Rule 120 that if Pl s suspicious letter, re n whatever timefram o define Plaintiff's sus arry Board, the jurisd	etter that was submitted to Cour of case Exhibit Log as " letter Plaintiff sent D laintiff & all attorneys receiving sefuses to file an Answer within 10 me the Courts allot for an Answer aspicious document in a manner diction, capacity, authorization to sciplinary action on any or all att	Defendant in this Motion O days of or, that the that gives the o do a proper &
NOTE suspicious Document mot changed their name to Group,	ion to be defined is	The law office whose letterhea Group. In early Therefore, any mention of referring to the same law office a	they've
BECAUSE: 1. This suspic Defendant on		er (instrument) submitted by Plai in altered or forged letter that w	

dated Plaintiff's Exhibit eviction document named '
Group dated has the exact same body, letterhead and attorney name on it as the letter Plaintiff mailed to Defendant in

- (a) Plaintiff told Court that there letter was their lead-off eviction warning letter with which attorneys did not attach to any of their Notices, Injunction & Summons and admitted this to Court; and to the They told the bar then never sent Defendant their lead-off eviction document, also telling the bar that because a different law firm sent Defendant that letter they do not maintain it in their eviction file, as an explanation to the Bar as to why they were not sending defendant their Eviction Discovery Exhibit letter they used to evict Defendant with.
- 2. Police Department | looked at the Letter with the court stamp on it and the Letter and stated it was a "Suspicious Letter". stated the conduct of everyone connected to the letter was also suspicious. told Defendant to get the Judge to define the suspicious document.
- 3. The also told Defendant to file a Motion for the Judge to define the suspicious document. The Bar stated they cannot investigate the documents until a Judge defines the two documents; and Defendant attaches the Judge's order to complaint.
- 4. Defendant had Housing Benefits terminated as a direct result of this suspicious document. The government agency still hasn't sent Defendant his "Termination Letter". Defendant needs the suspicious document defined to submit to Housing Benefits appeal court.
- 5. It's necessary for Plaintiff's suspicious Exhibit be more specifically defined by Judge to determine what kind of altered instrument that was used to defraud Defendant of his Lease, Housing Benefits & Reputation, Defendant needs said legal defining of suspicious document to seek out having reputation restored & Relief granted to him for everything Defendant was unjustifiably forced to suffer through as the result of that suspicious letter.
- 6. If Plaintiff's suspicious exhibit is indeed a forged or altered instrument this cannot be allowed to stand without proper reprimands from all appropriate state agencies, institutions & Courts who have jurisdiction & authority over such fraudulent conduct by attorneys, if Court wishes to maintain the public trust in the integrity of the Court of County, Arizona, United States of America and the Wherefore Plaintiff is justified in petitioning the Judge/Court to Define the suspicious Ltr.

Statement of Facts & Legal Support: A) A.R.S. 13-2002 (A) 1. 2. 3.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.