State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-354
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

December 22, 2021

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge ruled incorrectly and was biased against him.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 22, 2021.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

21-354

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:		- Plaintiff	Jud	ge's Name:	
words wh names, da be attache	at you belie tes, times, a d along with	eve the judge did nd places that will	that constitutes judiciple the commissions of relevant countries.	udicial misconduct. on understand your	mplaint. Describe in your own Be specific and list all of the concerns. Additional pages may se complete one side of the paper
	hed Compla dicial Canon	1.50	ge and attached e	vidence of Judges	bias and partiality in violation
	This medic of major in		se is admitted brea	ach of standard of o	care and admitted
Exhibit 1	– Biased an	nd partial	- ;	Motion	
for	Summary	Judgment.			
Exhibit 2	-	Minute Entry "			
		' However, unfai	rly, partial to Defe	ndant	and with very apparent bias
we see th	at this COU	JRT does not inclu	de –		
Exhibit 3		Minute Entry, OF	RDER holding:		
				'F	Further holding:
4					
					'This court in
contrast is	s setting a r	new national prece	dent that "		

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

21-354

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	- Plaintiff	Judge's Na	me:			
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.						
Plaintiffs are seeking a full explanation by Judge before filing suit against						
the State of Arizona. Plaintiffs have a big problem with the Honorable						
establishing cas	elaw		with	Order,		
Minute Entry. Order clea	rly establishes new ca	selaw that all def	endant recorded	admissions as		
to standard of care, and	injuries are apparently	not allowed as D	efendant falsely	claimed their		
own recorded admission	s are not relevant alon	g with Exhibit 30				
	-self incriminating a	dmissions—admi	ssions throughou	t of		
	admissions through	out of causation	of documented in	juries.		
This court, in	ORDER has ignored	 recorded admis 	ssions that establ	sh wrongdoing,		
negligence, and major injuries involved. Digital recorded evidence, video recorded evidence that						
conclusively establishes the Defendant's defense is groundless, cases true facts, cases true						
liability, and this cases standard of care and causation of injury ruling that any would						
have acknowledged. This very type of recorded conclusive material factual evidence was						
involved in the nationally	known	case.	ORDER has set	a horrific		
precedent affect	ting all Americans	This will n	ow need to be ex	plained to		
	other grou	ps, telling them w	hen wrongdoing,			
negligence, major injuries happen to put away their cell phones. same as has been						
instructed by this court, c	ludge	with examination	of rul	ing.		
COURT has ruled in explanation, that						
least this court absolutely ignoring these conclusive Defendant admissions.						

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Email: cjc@courts.az.gov;

Re:

Complaint Against Judge

It has become very apparent that the Plaintiffs are on a rollercoaster ride designed by and this Court, Judge This appears as very vindictive for filing a previous complaint against her friend across the hall -- Judge This rollercoaster ride is designed by and this Court, Judge to dismiss Plaintiffs case or financially sanction the Plaintiffs into submission for simply stating the case is admitted breach of standard of care and admitted TRUTH - This causation of major injuries. victim) will never see a fair and impartial life is destroyed by this obvious negligence and these obvious injuries and trial. yet having to further witness, watch this tragic court injustice play out. This is a very sad with this Arizona Judge very biased, partial, ungrounded legal ruling

effecting all Arizona and United States citizens.	See attached Plaintiffs are seeking to file a				
lawsuit, if warranted, against the State of Arizona. Plaintiffs feel very strongly they will never					
receive a fair and impartial trial by this court. Judge Please convince					
Plaintiffs otherwise. This is not a but based on attached outlined evidence.					
Plaintiffs would like to extend the courtesy of al	lowing this court, Judge to				
explain attached Exhibit 1 - Biased and partia	Plaintiffs Motion				
for Summary Judgment. What appears to	everyone who has examined it, except				
as very biased and partial ruling. Admissions throughout of					
, admissions throughout of causation of	documented injuries,				
Exhibit 30 record file and	event				
recordings / transcripts.					
See Minute Entry reads: "					
	." See –				
attached Exhibit 2 Minute Entry					
"However, unfairly and with very apparent bias we see that this					
COURT does not include – attached Exhibit 3 -	- Minute Entry, ORDER holding:				
"	" Further holding:				

" Plaintiffs are very troubled that this partial court, with bias, has only handpicked orders -- COURT claiming as affirmed; that are favorable to Defendants. in an enormous multitude of places has admitted to and Re: attached Exhibit 4 -- Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Re: Judgment -- Reply and Exhibit 30 -. FURTHER, of enormous amount of reversal agent, was administered over Plaintiffs' Reply Exhibit 30 to reverse the severity of this only purpose is to reverse Obvious to any established. all federal and state agencies, hospitals, health care institutions that " " This court in contrast is setting a new national precedent that personnel in charge of care repeatedly admit throughout digital recordings that causing major injuries. they admitted injury -- as undeniably evidenced in Plaintiffs Reply Exhibit 26, Exhibit 30 as diagnosed by own was due to has received stating discharged her on ongoing treatments since admitted as egregious " caused by " near

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.