#### State of Arizona

#### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-360
Judge:	
Complainant:	

#### **ORDER**

December 22, 2021

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him and failed to exercise appropriate administrative authority.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 22, 2021.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

F	0	L		F	F	T	~	F	T	T	3	H	1	0	A	T	T	V	7
2.0		//		4 24					•	10	•	т,		•	<i>a</i> a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a				

21-360

Judge's Nar	ne:	
that constitutes judicial n l help the commission under	nisconduct. Be specific and list estand your concerns. Additional p	all of th
udge for County		
over	and	in
duties and serve as th of court business.	e officer of the	
eing Court Judge		
e CJC Case	No.	
ted Judicial Canons with he	er partiality and bias.	
Duties of Judicial Office In	npartially, Competently, and Dilig	gently.
This rollercoaster ride tiffs case or financially san case is add ( victim obvious negligence and the	is designed by and this ( ction the Plaintiffs into submission mitted breach of standard of care () will never see a fair and impar	Court, on for e and tial trial.
	paper of the same size to that constitutes judicial in I help the commission under als) of relevant court documer your records.  Industry the county over  duties and serve as the of court business.  Industry the county over  duties and serve as the of court business.  Industry the county over  duties and serve as the of court business.  Industry the county over  duties and serve as the of court business.  Industry the county over the county over  duties and serve as the of county over the serve as the of court business.  Industry the county over the county over the county over the serve as the of court business.  Industry the county over the county over the serve as the of county over the coun	duties and serve as the officer of the of court business.  In a Carons with her partiality and bias.  Tomote the Independence, Integrity, and Impartiality of the and the Appearance of Impropriety.  Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligivindictive for a previous CJC complaint against her friend a This rollercoaster ride is designed by and this of tiffs case or financially sanction the Plaintiffs into submission case is admitted breach of standard of care (victim) will never see a fair and impartional probious negligence and these obvious injuries and yet have

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

21-360

Name: Judge's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
Supplemental Evidence - Admissions
Judge has ignored admissions in her ruling Denying Summary Judgment evidencing her partiality and bias.
Exhibit 1 – before Exhibit 2 – during Exhibit 3 – after
Any reasonable person reviewing this evidence would reach these conclusions:
<ul> <li>was with the dangerous with only a pediatric dose of left in condition next stopped breathing, ' 'was never called with ' causing major permanent injuries/disabilities to</li> </ul>
• Truth - evidence verification and corroboration as to cases material facts Cell phone event recordings, event certified transcripts and own event Reply Exhibit 30 – breach of standard of care / causation record 'major injuries/disabilities admissions.
Overwhelmingly obvious to reasonable people Approving Summary Judgment – If Judge would have simply followed corroborated case facts and her own case law
NO genuine issue of material fact exists upon which reasonable people might reach different conclusion. Summary judgment is appropriate. Orme School v. Reeves, 166 Ariz. 301, 309-10 (1990); see also Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317 (1986).
Summary judgment is appropriate when reasonable jurors could not draw conflicting inferences from the circumstances, true facts, recording admissions. And breach of standard of care / causation record major injuries admissions. Bishop v. State Dep't of Corrections, 172 Ariz. 472, 475 (App. 1992) (citing Northern Contracting Co. v. Allis-Chalmers Corp., 117 Ariz. 374, 376 (1977).
Judge Judge for County has a duty to see that victim receives the same rights as any other Arizona or U.S. Citizen. No more or bias.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

21-354

Name:		- Plaintiff		Judge's Nar	ne:	
words wh names, da be attache	at you belie tes, times, a d along with	eve the judge did nd places that wil	that constituded that the the the the the the the the the th	ites judicial r mission under t court docum	nisconduct. I estand your o	plaint. Describe in your own Be specific and list all of the concerns. Additional pages may complete one side of the paper
	hed Compla dicial Canon	1.55	dge and attac	hed evidence	of Judges b	pias and partiality in violation
	This medic of major in	al malpractice ca juries.	se is admitted	d breach of st	andard of ca	are and admitted
Exhibit 1	– Biased an	d partial	-:		Motion	
for	Summary	Judgment.				
Exhibit 2	-	Minute Entry "				
		' However, unfa	airly, partial to	Defendant		and with very apparent bias
we see th	at this COU	IRT does not incl	ude –			
Exhibit 3	<del></del> -3	Minute Entry, O	RDER holding	g:		
					'Fu	urther holding:
4						
						'This court in
contrast is	s setting a r	new national prec	edent that "			

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

#### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

21-354

Name:	- Plaintiff	Judge's Na	me:						
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.									
Plaintiffs are seeking a full explanation by Judge before filing suit against									
the State of Arizona. Plaintiffs have a big problem with the Honorable									
establishing cas	elaw		with	Order,					
Minute Entry. Order clearly establishes new caselaw that all defendant recorded admissions as									
to standard of care, and	injuries are apparently	not allowed as D	efendant falsely	claimed their					
own recorded admission	s are not relevant alon	g with Exhibit 30							
	-self incriminating a	dmissions—admi	ssions throughou	t of					
	admissions through	out of causation	of documented in	juries.					
This court, in	ORDER has ignored	<ul> <li>recorded admis</li> </ul>	ssions that establi	sh wrongdoing,					
negligence, and major in	juries involved. Digital	recorded eviden	ce, video recorde	d evidence that					
conclusively establishes	the Defendant's defen	se is groundless,	cases true facts,	cases true					
liability, and this cases s	tandard of care and ca	usation of injury	ruling that any	would					
have acknowledged. Thi	s very type of recorded	d conclusive mate	erial factual evide	nce was					
involved in the nationally	known	case.	ORDER has set	a horrific					
precedent affect	ting all Americans	This will n	ow need to be ex	plained to					
	other grou	ips, telling them w	hen wrongdoing,						
negligence, major injurie	s happen to put away	their cell phones.	same as	has been					
instructed by this court, c	ludge	with examination	of rul	ing.					
COURT has ruled in explanation, that	conclusive cell p	hone evidence R	it even a thorough te: " ot allowed or at th						
least this court absolutely ignoring these conclusive Defendant admissions.									

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Email: cjc@courts.az.gov;

Re:

# **Complaint Against Judge**

It has become very apparent that the Plaintiffs are on a rollercoaster ride designed by and this Court, Judge This appears as very vindictive for filing a previous complaint against her friend across the hall -- Judge This rollercoaster ride is designed by and this Court, Judge to dismiss Plaintiffs case or financially sanction the Plaintiffs into submission for simply stating the case is admitted breach of standard of care and admitted TRUTH - This causation of major injuries. victim) will never see a fair and impartial life is destroyed by this obvious negligence and these obvious injuries and trial. yet having to further witness, watch this tragic court injustice play out. This is a very sad with this Arizona Judge very biased, partial, ungrounded legal ruling

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.