State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-361
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

May 18, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge had not taken his case seriously, failed to make fair and timely rulings, allowed the opposing party to violate his rights, and made incorrect legal rulings.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 18, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-361

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Nam:	_Judge's Name:
words what you names, dates, tim	e this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the es, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages
of the paper only.	along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
The de	fendant has been detained since
The dei	Fendant obecame a pro-se litigant
An .	
Every S	Ince the defendant has been pro-per
Judge	has refused to take
my Sta	tus and my situation seriously.
He has	force the defendant has been pro-per has refused to take tus and my situation seriously. refused to make fair and timely
Milnas	on my motions and has allowed
the or	osecution to continously violate my
proced	ural and constitutional rights.
Judge	is only for the
DEDSECT	tion and deny's all my motions
withou	t and factual and legal basis for
400/00	
Overa	II I cannot depend on a fair
trial	if he continues to abuse his
	on and power by not allowing
me to	use the law and get a far
	when such a rulling is warranted.
70,113	Janes
	·