

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-364

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 8, 2021

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge (now retired) was coercive during plea negotiations.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 8, 2021.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-364

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS:

I, _____ was before Judge _____
for my _____ Plea Negotiation Hearing on _____
FORMAL COMPLAINT against Judge _____
(1.) Judge _____ violated Ariz. R. Crim P Rule
17.4 "

(2.) Violated Fed. Rule, Crim. P - Rule 11(e) "

(3.) Violated Ariz. Supreme Court Rule 2.3 "BIAS,
PREJUDICE. Judge _____ IMPAIRED the Fairness
and Created " and made
Guilty Plea VOID by "

(4.) Violations By Judge _____ caused
PLEA TO BE MADE UNKNOWINGLY,
UNWILLINGLY BY MISSTATEMENTS OF LAW
and FACT.

(5.) Judge _____ Actions, threats, and
Coercion toward _____ During Plea Hearing
forced _____ into accepting an "
by Lying to _____, to SCARE

FORMAL COMPLAINT

(5) (Continued) - Judge Intimidated
with Unlawful Threats that

!!" (" (1")
(6) All of False Threats by Judge
were made "

(see)
(7) No evidence, No Discovery, No Transcripts,
No Defense Options, No Defense INVESTIG-
ATION EVEN BEGUN; Yet, Judge
Ruled " and "
!!!

(This VIOLATION MUST VACATE CONVICTION)

(8) The state and Court have COVERED-UP
These INDESPENSIBLE EVIDENCE
OF MY OWN DARN COURT TRANSCRIPTS FOR

!
(9) HAS BEEN DENIED ALL BASIC
DUE PROCESS RIGHTS.

(10) DENIED () Court Filed
"

(11) NEVER WOULD HAVE SIGNED
The " "But For the
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT; THREATS AND
UNLAWFUL COERCION. (see transcripts)

FORMAL COMPLAINT

against Judge

(12) falsely coerced and threatened
by saying: Quote "

!" end quote

Fact: was indicted by several
charges - These Do Not Hold Mandatory

(13) Quote: "

!"

(14) Quote of "

!"

FACT: Total Unlawful THREATS MADE!

(15) "Quote of "

!! end Quote

(16) Quote: "

!"

(17) Quote "

!" end quote

FACT: This is A Blatant Lie and Constit-
utional Violation of a "

FORMAL COMPLAINT

(17) my private counsel
Filed my " " on
in Court (see Exh. B-2) which lists
separate Legal Defenses listed and
checked off and entered as "

(18) Quote of "

(19) Judge Failed to Notify
and Disclose to defendant that the ARS
statutes on his indictment were "
because they "

" and "

This took away the "
" established as a Right of a def.
to be Presumed INNOCENT."

(20) See HOUSE BILL 2283 - Amending All
unlawfully written ARS statutes in Ariz.
in

(21) was Sentenced to the
Illegal Statutes prior to the state legislature
and were Forced to Amend
and Re-Write my own Charges.

Fact: This is A "

FORMAL COMPLAINT

(22) Judge caused " by allowing to be coerced into accepting " in Plea Negotiation. AS LAW: (State of ARIZONA v Thompson) "

"as well as the Legislative Intent of statutes."

(23) JUDGE, as my Trial Judge and Rule 32 Judge, IS MY WITNESS and Made a LEGAL RULING in ORDER dated - Page 5, under Hedding " " Quote of Judge "

*

Exhibit AA)

FACT: This Admission BY COURT PROVES THE 6th Amend. Constitutional Violation of Denial of Right To A Complete Defense

Denied Any and All Defenses "

FACT: This is An Automatic Reversal ERROR.

Arizona Court must ORDER REDRESS

" - Please Forward Findings To "ARIZONA COURT!"

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**