State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-370
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

August 24, 2022

The Complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace improperly granted an eviction.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 24, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-370

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judg	e's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same words what you believe the judge did that constitutes ju names, dates, times, and places that will help the commissio be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	dicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the n understand your concerns. Additional pages may
I sent in the exhibits online to all parties involved pro	oving that I had paid my and
minute entries would prove that. I even paid	
lawyer if they would accept it, and they both agreed that w	
extension to get proof that I had submitted an investigation	
in question be replaced as it had not been upon m	•
was purchased. I received the letter and it stated I w	
order within after receiving the letter on	
sent prior without me reminding him that they were sent to	
regarding the replacement. He in fact, did no	
despite I was not represented by an attorney. Instead he	
her attorney. I also sent the proof of the	
with our agreement. I am therefore, I was only	
per contract and he allowed the plaintif to	
portion of the rent and late fees claimed by the plaintifff du	
any late fees imposed by housing because I was the lease	
	nd was advised as I was; that I am not
responsible for any late fees incurred by them.	

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-370

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your of words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages in the attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the particle o	the nay
I sent in the exhibits online to all 1 parties involved proving that I had paid my and	
minute entries would prove that. I even paid with the judge asking the plaintiff and her	
lawyer if they would accept it, and they both agreed that would accept it. Therefore, I was granted an	
extension to get proof that I had submitted an investigation from the to have the	in
question be replaced as it had not been cashed upon my inquiry with the where the	
was purchased. I received the letter and it stated I would and did receive the replacement	
within after receiving the letter on He did not look at the exhibits that	t I
sent prior without me reminding him that they were sent to him and he did not want to look at the letter	
regarding the replacement. He in fact, did not even allow me to speak fully on my behalf	
despite I was not represented by an attorney, instead he directed all the answers towards the Plaintiff a	ınd
her attorney. I also sent the proof of the housing contract and my self of what each party is responsible	
with our agreement. I am therefore, I was only responsible for my portion of the rent whilh is	
and he allowed the plaintif to overcharge me what was not deemed my	•
portion of the rent and late fees claimed by the plaintifff due from housing. He stated I was responsible	for
any late fees imposed by housing because I was the lease holder despite separate contracts. My case	
manager for spoke to the housing authority and was advised as I was; that I am not	
responsible for any late fees incurred by them.	