State of Arizona

Disposition of Complaint 21-387

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 30, 2022

The Complainant alleged the municipal court judge in his criminal misdemeanor case engaged in improper ex parte communications and violated his rights.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 30, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-387

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:	_
words what you believe the judge did that	er of the same size to file a complaint. Describe is constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and lis	st all of the
names, dates, times, and places that will help	the commission understand your concerns. Additiona	al pages may
be attached along with copies (not originals) of only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your	of relevant court documents. Please complete one side	of the paper

Case No.:

Complaint

Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

JUDGE violated my rights to a fair trial and laws as follows: Judge engaged in ex-parte communication on phone in court with my public defender Judge violated Rule 2.9 (A),(1),(a). Arizona State Constitution. 14th Amendment of the US Constitution. 6th Amendment of the US Constitution. Judge also allowed my public defender to not show up for my hearing on . Clear shows is working for the Judge and not his client.

criminal case number charges

1. On Judge assigned as

Public Defender. See Exhibit B-1case file from website.

Note that on Judge approved the contact with the City of

AZ as Mr. public defender from See

Exhibit K-10 Staff Memo 11-5-18 and Exhibit L-11 SOQ document

On email from Mr. motion granted to go home. Exhibit
 H-8 Motion Granted from Exhibit J-9

Motion granted from court.

Note that the motion is not signed by judge In supreme trial courts, there is only one judge in the courtroom and presides over one case. That judge decides what evidence can and cannot be used and often decides the outcome of the case. The motion is signed by

This is a clear violation of my **Sixth Amendment right**, guarantees the rights of criminal defendants, including the right to a public trial without unnecessary delay, the right to a lawyer, the right to an impartial jury, and the right to know who your accusers are and the nature of the charges and evidence against you.

The fact that signed a motion in criminal trial that he is not presiding judge over the case brings into question the validity of the motion and Mr.

explicit involvement in procedural misconduct, judicial misconduct in my criminal trial. Two Judges Presiding in criminal case, brings into question the validity of my trail and Judge explicit involvement in procedural misconduct in my criminal trial.

- 3. On in court, at the end of the court Judge asks my public defender to go to the printer and get the three copies. My attorney complies and goes and gets the copies. I can't get my public defender to do anything for my case. But he has time to waste fetching documents for the Judge. It's clear that Mr. will go out of his way to help and assist the court in anyway.
- **4.** On My public defender filed a motion to withdrawn from my case. See Exhibit BZ-77
- 5. On I received an email from The court was unable to open documents. The Judge instructed for me to print out and bring to court date set for Exhibit DC-105 Motions
- 6. On I sent the email to to represent myself. See Exhibit CM-89

 The email contained the following:

A. Motion to represent myself in court. PDF
B. Motion Granted to represent myself in court. Word doc and
PDF.
C. Motion to dismiss all charges. PDF
D. Motion Granted to drop all charges. Word doc and PDF
See Exhibits

Exhibit Motion to Represent myself in court
Exhibit Motion Granted to dismiss all charges
Exhibit Motion to dismiss- drop all charges
Exhibit Motion Granted to dismiss all charges

7. On Pretrial conference rescheduled to by public defender

I terminated Mr. on and tried to reschedule
court date the day before the hearing on

8. On Pretrial conference for Pro Se. See Exhibit Q-20 Txt from court

I arrived at court or at Ten minutes early for a hearing. I

was told I would have to wait. Upon entering the court room, the judge

clear violation of my Sixth Amendment right, guarantees the rights of criminal

defendants, including the right to a public trial without unnecessary delay, the right

to a lawyer, the right to an impartial jury, and the right to know who your accusers

are and the nature of the charges and evidence against you. Upon entering the court

room my public defender was not in the court room and judge

had not found me competent to represent myself, but judge
was ok violating my right to a lawyer, allowing the prosecution in the court
room during my pro se hearing and at no point during the hearing did the judge
state I was competent to represent myself. Judge allow
the prosecutor to question me without legal representation present. The hearing for
me to represent myself had nothing to do with the prosecutor
By Judge
allowing the prosecution to be in my hearing to represent myself is

giving the prosecution an advantage in my case.

9.	On	judge	viola	ted Rule 2.9 – Ex parte o	communication (A)	A			
	judge shall not initiate, permit, or consider ex parte communications, or consider								
other communications made to the judge outside the presence of the parties									
	lawyers, concerning a pending or impending matter.								
10.	On	in court jud	lge	gave me a lecture on	hearsay and said he	will			
	not have i	t in his cour	troom. But it'	s legal for Prosecutor	to submit				
	hearsay police report (Inadmissible in court).								
11.	Oı	Judge	expla	nined to me that it's not l	Mr. day	to be			
	in court.		falls on	. When the judge	advised me that Mr.				
	was not scheduled to appear in court and it was his day off was a lie. As								
all my court dates as follows: . This would also line up with Mr.									
								contract w	ith the city
	Exhibit V-25 Public defender solicitation fact sheet (1)								
Pre public defender Solicitation fact sheet. Days of work: Attorney pre-trial									
	held on	m	orning from	This we	ould also line up wit	th			
	Mr.		and cha	anging my court date to	at	fter			
	cou	ırt date.							
	97. On	Judge	v:	iolated Sixth Amendme	e nt right, guarantees	s the			
	rights of criminal defendants, the right to a lawyer, when he allowed my court								
	appointed attorney not to show up for court.								

should have issued a "Show Order" or rescheduled.

did not show up for my court date

. Judge

12. Wher

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.