# State of Arizona

#### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-409
Judge:	
Complainant:	

#### ORDER

May 25, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly denied his motion to modify spousal maintenance.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher W. Ames did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 25, 2022.

# CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-409

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or pla words what you believe the judge of names, dates, times, and places that v	in paper of the same size to file a complaint did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be sp will help the commission understand your concer ginals) of relevant court documents. Please comp	t. Describe in your own ecific and list all of the ms. Additional pages may
was provided proper ser	cation of spousal maintenance, and the respor vice and notice of Resolution Management Co failed to appear at this conference.	
she did not have any of the evidence minutes of that conference without evidence and attachments. I maintenance and the supporting evid	but the judge refused to move on that request e in the petitoner's motion. The judge read in of will reflect her statements, that she cannot may the petitioner was confused, as the motion to a dence was submitted to the court with one filing bition, but not the supporting evidence and documents.	ppen court, and the ake a ruling on matters modify spousal g. The petitioner was
judicial officer confirmed, as reflected was provided services for that Trial/E	d in minutes of that hearing, that the responde Evidentiarv Hearing for that date. The respondence no showed for this Trial/Evidentia	ent to the petition to
During the Trial/Evidentiary Hearing petitioner, status since the original decree of sp	on the substantial changes	
Th		

#### CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

# FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-409

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge did the names, dates, times, and places that will h	aper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own hat constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may so of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper your records.
Continued page 2:	
Hearing for s and continued unemployment were as and the petitoner had lost incom he petitioner's Covid-19 diagnosiss imonv on the petitioner's pending ap for long haul Covid-19 symp status after eviction f the petitioner's behavioral health he American's with Disabilities Act e in acute disability symptoms after attorney bill to	toms; the petitioner's eviction from his home and homeless n judgement with Court; exasporation of disability, bipolar disorder, a listed disability under the (ADA); acknowledgement by petioner in open court the chang Court proceedings; the petitioner for those juvenile court proceedings from the court process of the court
Court, the petitioner challenged hat it is improbable that the original d in open court, at the hearing on happened to have "found" the	misconducting her duties as a judicial officer of the d the judge, in open court and the minutes will reflect, t al motion did not have the petitioner's evidence, as state.  The minutes will reflect Judge petitioner's supporting documents and evidence with his management Nevertheless, with this prima facie testimony and support committed judicial misconduct.