

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 21-427

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

June 24, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner placed improper restrictions on his access to the internet and denied him access to needed court documents.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Christopher W. Ames and Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 24, 2022.



**CONFIDENTIAL**  
State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2021-427

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

by A Violation to my sentencing deal with  
the first commissioner my attorney and  
myself took my rights away for the  
rest of my life because I am on lifetime probation  
A probation officer could not grant me permission to  
use the internet because then he or she would be held  
in contempt of court. violated my United  
States Constitutional right to freedom of speech please  
read case Law (A). imposed conditions  
that were held invalid to my case including restricting  
me of the internet, (1) has no relationship to the crime of which  
the offender was convicted (2) relates to conduct which is not in itself  
criminal and (3) requires or forbids conduct which is not reasonably  
related to future criminality please read case Law (B).  
has violated Due process and United States Constitutional rights to  
the defendant. I ask that she be fully investigated to see if  
she treated other sex offenders the same as she did me.