## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

## **Disposition of Complaint 22-012**

Judge:

Complainant:

### ORDER

#### October 12, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made incorrect and untimely rulings in a civil matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Christopher W. Ames & Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 12, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

22-012

#### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

**Instructions**: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Plaintiff comes again before this committee and writes as to judicial misconduct throughout his case. I continue to receive limited to no response on pending motions; inhibiting from obtaining a level-playing field and restraining his right to fair proceeding. I will address issues below as follows:

1. I filed motions with Judge that were not ruled on in a timely manner or never ruled on. Plaintiff is unable to proceed effectively in his case as well as his appeal case. The Judge is ignoring my pending motion(s) (e.g., Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration has not been ruled on for over days; and Plaintiff's Rule 59 and Rule 60 Motion has not been ruled on for days.) [Exhibit 1-2]

2. The Court has not provided information regarding why no ruling on Plaintiff's motions has been made; Plaintiff is waiting these rulings. The Court sent Plaintiff a minute entry later that Judge denied the motion because Judge had retired. (See number 2 of Judge complaint. Judge violations have clearly carried on to the presiding Judge (e.g., Judge allows Defendant's inappropriate and untimely motions to be accepted; and fails to promptly rule on Plaintiff's motion. Judge has ruled on Defendant's motion regarding Rule 59 & 60

3. I have been unable to move forward in his case effectively as Judge has stifled his ability to proceed accordingly and has ruled in a disdainful demeanor towards Plaintiff. Judge

has shown bias and prejudice towards me by addressing me as the Defendant in my case. [Exhibit 3]

4. I continue to expend an enormous amount of court/legal fees as well as time and other expenses, including travel.

5. Judge has failed to rule promptly as required in accordance with Canon 1 and Canon 2 of the Judicial Code of Conduct. Further, Judge is in violation of Rule 2.2 of the ABA Model Code of Judicial Conduct. Plaintiff's unruled motions are over days in violation of Section 21. Article VI of the Arizona Constitution Rule 91.

6. Judge continues to demolished my confidence in receiving fair treatment from the Judicial system. Judge simply did not uphold Plaintiff's rights to due process and failed to perform his duties as an officer of the court.

7. Judge continues to allow discovery abuse to fester.

8. Judge failure to rule within days as required also prohibits him from receiving his salary pursuant to AZ Rev Stat § 11-424.02(A).

9. Now, I'm afraid that Judge will keep denying my motion(s) for filing this complaint. I urge this governing body to investigate and discipline Judge, necessary and appropriate that this governing body discipline Judge and add to the record his failure to uphold his duties as an officer of the court.

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

22-012

#### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

**Instructions**: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Plaintiff comes again before this committee and writes as to judicial misconduct throughout his case. I continue to receive limited to no response on pending motions; inhibiting from obtaining a level-playing field and restraining my right to fair proceeding. I will address issues below as follows:

1. I filed motions with Judge that were not ruled on in a timely manner or never ruled on. Plaintiff is unable to proceed effectively in his case as well as his appeal case. The Judge is ignoring my pending motion(s) and my request for an oral hearing (e.g., Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration has not been ruled on for over days; and Plaintiff's Rule 59 and Rule 60 Motion has not been ruled on for + days.) [Exhibit 1-2.]

2. The Court has not provided information regarding why no ruling on Plaintiff's motions has been made; Plaintiff is waiting these rulings. The Court sent Plaintiff a minute entry, ater that Judge denied the motion because Judge had retired. (See number 2 of Judge complaint. Judge violations have clearly carried on to the presiding Judge (e.g., Judge allows Defendant's inappropriate and untimely motions to be accepted; and fails to promptly rule on my motion(s). Judge has ruled on Defendant's motion regarding Rule 59 & 60.

3. I have been unable to move forward in his case effectively as Judge has stifled my ability to proceed accordingly and has ruled in a disdainful demeanor towards me. Judge

has shown bias and prejudice towards me by addressing me as the Defendant in my case. [Exhibit 3.]

I continue to expend an enormous amount of court/legal fees as well as time and other expenses, including travel.

5. Judge has failed to rule promptly as required in accordance with Canon 1 and Canon 2 of the Judicial Code of Conduct. Further, Judge is in violation of Rule 2.2 of the ABA Model Code of Judicial Conduct. Plaintiff's unruled motions are over -days in violation of Section 21. Article VI of the Arizona Constitution Rule 91.

6. Judge continues to demolished my confidence in receiving fair treatment from the Judicial system. Judge simply did not uphold Plaintiff's rights to due process and failed to perform his duties as an officer of the court.
 7. Judge continues to allow discovery abuse to fester.

8. Judge failure to rule within days as required also prohibits him from receiving his salary pursuant to AZ Rev Stat § 11-424.02(A).

9. Now, I'm afraid that Judge will keep denying mv motion(s) for filing this complaint. I urge this governing body to investigate and discipline Judge, accordingly. It is,

therefore, necessary and appropriate that this governing body discipline Judge and add to the record his failure to uphold his duties as an officer of the court.

# "EXHIBIT 1"

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	, IN PRO PER	
6	IN THE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA	
7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF	
8		No.
9	Plaintiff,	(Judge )
10	vs.	MOTION TO RECONSIDER
11	75.	AND TO CLARIFY THE
12		RECORD FOR THE COURT'S RULING ON ; ,
13	Defendants.	IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARIZ. R. CIV. P 7.1(E)
14		-
15		
16	Pursuant to Ariz. R. Civ. P. 7.1(e), Plaintiff (" " or "Plaintiff") brings forth this Motion to Reconsider and to Clarify the Record of the court's ruling received on	
17	Plaintiff is unable to distinguish the judge's intent and vague	
18	anguage in the ruling of the court's minute entry.	
19	And, states the following:	
20	1. Plaintiff is perplexed as to the minute entry filed -it is evident	
21	that the language inaccurately reflects the court's position on how the court sees the	
22	Defendant and Plaintiff which should shock the conscience of every American.	
23	The judge has said that Plaintiff is "attacking" the judgement for filing his motion in	
24	accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) after his case was	
25	_	
26	the judgement if he were an attorney? Additionally, the judge has referred to Plaintiff as	
27	the "Defendant" in this matter. Such action from the court and the attorney should alarm	
28	and concern any person as they would perceive	and recognize an alignment between the

court and the Defendant as both have accused Plaintiff of "attacking" the judgment.
 Respectfully, may the court and/or Defendant point out within Rule 59 and 60 that filing
 such motion is an 'attack' on the judgment?

2. The judge's minute entry states that Defendant (referring to 'Plaintiff') is "attacking" 4 the judgment. This identical verbiage was used in response to Plaintiff's 5 motion and copied preciously therefrom.<sup>1</sup> Such derogatory and contemptuous language is 6 retaliatory, disparaging and shows bias and prejudice to Plaintiff for lawfully exercising 7 his right to file motions under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Arizona Rules of 8 Civil Procedure. Such disrespect and disregard for Plaintiff as a pro se has been practiced 9 throughout this proceeding. Such extreme treatment was not expected by the court. 10 However, the disobedient Defendant/attorney was allowed to do so as evidenced by the 11 judge *turning a blind-eye*. The court has openly exposed its own bias and prejudice as the 12 previous judge ( has ruled in a disdainful demeanor towards Plaintiff as a 13 pro se litigant and explains why justice cannot prevail. 14 The judge has also ruled that my Motion to Compel Defendant for Production 3. of Documents and Privilege Log was inappropriate because my case has been dismissed.<sup>2</sup> 15 First, to the contrary, was it appropriate for (attorney of record) to *ignore and* 16 not comply with the court's orders? Second, was it appropriate for the court not to rule on 17 Plaintiff's Motions to Compel in of before my case being dismissed? Lastly, 18 was it appropriate for not to produce documents and destroying video evidence 19 of the incident during discovery and even after being court ordered? is no 20 stranger for destroying video and for not producing evidence.<sup>3</sup> 21

 27
 3 See
 Inc.,
 WL6158467, at \*9 (D. Nev.)
 );

 28
 E., L.P., 76 F. Supp. 3d 1393, 1396 (N.D. Ga.).
 ).

While reviewing the Response brief, the Court learned that Defendant on filed a motion attacking the judgment. He amended the same motion on for the amended motion, Defendant has not demonstrated that relief from judgment is appropriate under either Rule 59 or 60. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED denying the motion.

 <sup>25
 &</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Court has considered Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendant for Production of Document and Privilege Log Pursuant to the Court Orders and the Response to the same. This matter has been dismissed, and the request is
 26
 an appropriate. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED denying the motion.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE REMAINDER OF THE COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER, PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST IN WRITING TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND REFERENCE THE COMMISSION CASE NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.