State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-018
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

July 21, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge demonstrated bias against him by accepting a motion from the opposing party's attorney that contained a smiley face next to the certificate of service signature.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 21, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-018

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I'm sure Hon.

Man, but, he's also a human, charged with executing our law in a fair manner, I believe fair in this circumstance, something like lady justice, whom does hold the scales, but, and critically, wears a blind fold. That blind fold is there to remove bias as much as possible and to treat without any Prejudice.

I believe that accepting what should be legal documents, and were legal, before the emotional and needless, and completely inappropriate drawing, I would at this point consider it passing notes. I believe that Judge has failed to lemove needless bias from my hearing by accepting

documents with a smiler face drawn on it.

CONFIDENTIAL

Name:

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-018

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name:

~	,						
Instructions: Use this for	m or plain paper of	f the s	ame size	to file a comp	laint. Describe in your own		
					e specific and list all of the		
names, dates, times, and pl	aces that will help	the con	mission (understand you	r concerns. Additional pages		
may be attached along with	copies (not original	s) of re	levant cou	urt documents.	Please complete one side of		
the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.							
-	. 6	. 1	6		<u>~</u>		

I believe that my children deserve a fair unbiased hearing, they have not gotteria this in this town yet, I an doing my part to see that they may get that here someday. I believe our legal system deserves more respect than a Hooters napkin. If you can't see the forest for the trees, I'll ask my fellow citizens and tax payers if this is acceptable with them. Perhaps my expectations are unreasonable. That's for you

to decide.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 IN THE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 10 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF 11 12 In re: the Marriage of: CAUSE NO: Assigned to 13 14 Petitioner,) RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SECOND HEARING FOLLOWING FAILURE TO 15 -and-APPEAR 16 17 Respondent.) 18 COMES NOW the Petitioner, by and through undersigned counsel and in response to the request 19 for a second hearing on Petitioner's Temporary Order Without Notice, filed by Respondent on 20 and submits the following memorandum: 21 Petitioner cannot agree to the request. Respondent states that he missed the hearing set for 22 because be "believed" it to be set for instead of There was and is no objectively reasonable cause for this belief. The materials with which Respondent 23 24 was served clearly indicated the correct time of the hearing. Respondent's failure to appear is further evidence of the issues which caused the issuance of the order itself. 25 **RESPONSE TO MOTION - 1**

Respondent has not suggested that he has any information or material which would have made the outcome of the hearing different, or that he was denied due process in any manner.

Petitioner appreciates the apologetic tone of the motion and Respondent's acknowledgment of his own fault in his failure to appear. However, she notes that Respondent is equally likely to respond with accusatory, threatening, and/or passive aggressive communications, as he has done with both Petitioner and undersigned counsel while this case has been pending.

THEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully that the Court deny Respondent's request for a second hearing following his failure to appear on

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this