## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-019
Judge:	
Complainant:	

## **ORDER**

July 21, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner violated a relative's right to counsel in post-conviction proceedings and made other improper rulings.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Christopher P. Staring and J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 21, 2022.

From:
To:
Subject:
Date:

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

My Father was wrongfully convicted of a white collar crime in county on He had a right to appeal, and brought forth colorable claim as to rule 32 and rule 33. In which he had an evidentiary hearing on As to rule 32 the court Judge ignored AZ Law procedure 6.3B. By allowing the Law firm of and attorney to withdraw as counsel hours after he was sentenced. AZ law of procedure 6.3B: (Counsel that represents a defendant during sentencing still has a continuing duty of represention). is aware of rule 6.3b as seen in transcripts, and admits he never contacted my father before or after withdrawing as counsel. also admits in transcripts that he was put on notice that my father wanted to move forward. The court Judge not only ignored Az law of procedure 6.3B by granting the withdraw. The court judge ignored AZ law of procedure 31.5E: If a court allows a defendant's attorney to withdraw. The court or the court must appoint new counsel. No new counsel was ever appointed nor was my father ever notified. Thus denied my father his constitutional right to counsel. Judge also ignored AZ case law which is analogous to this sitituation. Furthermore the courts Judge makes his discission as to rule 33 on a hypothetical theory (Not on facts or law). Also available in the transcripts. What happened to innocent until proven guilty? Corruption, Prosecutorial misconduct, and Unaccountable power. Has eroded my fathers constitutional rights. Please help bring awareness to this corruption.

and the family.

Transcripts are available upon request.