State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-037
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

December 26, 2023

The Complainant alleged biased and improper rulings by a superior court judge hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 26, 2023.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

\boldsymbol{F}	OR	OF	FIC	E(I)	SE	ONL	Y

22-037

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _	Judge's Name:
Instruct	tions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own
words wh	nat you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the
names,	dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional
pages ma	ay be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side
of the pa	per only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

A while Ago I submitted a complaint against this same Judge. It's unfortunate I find myself again writing another complaint regarding the same matter. My faith in our justice system is entirely gone and I'm not sure there is any way I will ever have faith or trust in this system again. This is because for the past almost past years I have been involved in litigation and have had my constitutional rights violated, been discriminated against for a disability that is medically documented stemming from years of domestic abuse, I've been forced to watch helplessly as my child is abused and nothing is done, told by this judge reporting such incidents to the police and were not warranted, said to not be credible as to the abuse allegations, I have had my character and integrity questioned, called an unfit parent, and kept from my child for nearly years, and only being able to afford to see her years. I have experienced bias and favoritism for the other side by this judge. Not afforded the time necessary to present my case fully and in front of an impartial Judge. The worst part is the failure of this Judge to follow the Judicial Code of Conduct, even after she was given a private written warning from this Commission based on my last complaint, complaint

Her failure to follow the Judicial Code of Conduct has caused a child, my child, to be left in harm's way and further has been physically harmed due to this Judges actions and lack of impartiality. It is my belief this Judge has maliciously and intentionally said and done things in my case she knew would make it virtually impossible to ever get this injustice corrected. She has shown absolutely no concern for the safety and wellbeing of a child and lacks the humility and character to admit she made a mistake. Instead of doing what was correct, this Judge violated my due process right to be heard, again, vacating an emergency evidentiary hearing that was set. This hearing was due to an incident which resulted in the conviction of the child's stepmother for knowingly and intentionally causing a child harm, also considered child abuse. Which she found myself not credible as to my allegations of child abuse that I had been tirelessly trying to get the Court to allow me to show the proof the abuse occurring.

Nothing can be done to rectify the harm or the trauma this Judge has caused nor will there ever be anything that can make up for the spears I've lost of being a mother to my only child, the last years of my child being a little kid, nor will any Court or Judge fix this Judges ruling because it has already been litigated. As such it prevents me from being able to show that the orders entered were made by a biased Judge with malicious intent.

${\it CONFIDENTIAL}$

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

ΓU	FOR OFFICE USE ONL!				

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you b names, dates, tim may be attached	e this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own elieve the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the es, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of d keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
vital information which was alread would not be procorrect date and in said hearing, myself to fairly the other side in as to how she in protection again deny every motivatere is a filing first attorney by worker in the Stroster. It is my becomments made said by the have led me to the have access to the would not believe to the said said said to the said said to the have access to the would not believe to the said said said said said said said said	coint blank lied to the Commissioner in open court, further withheld in although the Commissioner touched base upon a child protective order dy in place, intentionally had the incorrect dates of a hearing sent to me so I esent although the out of state attorney and Commissioner were given the stime, allowed an attorney not licensed in this state to file and participate has contradicted her own rulings to make it as difficult and impossible for be heard, gave the other side legal advice in open court. answered questions for the very limited cross examination she allowed, the committed perjury terviewed the child, and released my address to the person I have an order of set. She never read anything that was filed by me or my attorney and would on filed by myself or my prior attorney. She allowed backdating of documents, and exhibit that was once on the ECR which is now gone and that proved my oke attorney client privilege, the in my case is not certified as a social state of as required by the administrative orders to be on the court belief that there was ex parted communications that took place as the by opposing side in Court are not found anywhere on the record of such being I will show the Commission the very suspicious things on the record that these beliefs, but I cannot investigate further in my suspicions because I do not me information I would need. I do not make these allegations lightly and I re this if I didn't personally experience this myself and there is undeniable misconduct has occurred.
the filing filing desplace document the in an enting file this file that is file that it i	vas back dated in this matter for the opposing side. If you reference exhibit one is shows the document was stamped as filed on a continuous that took is shows the document was stamped as filed on a continuous that took is shows the exhibits to be filed before the temporary orders hearing that took is. However, I would like to now reference the last page of the to where it was notarized. The notary stamp shows this document was signed in the state of a county in the day before this filing was ever even Notarized it is impossible for it to have been filed on the same someone back dated a filing to the exact date that one would've had to for it to be used according to the Rules of Procedure for the upcoming hearing. The raid and a misrepresentation of when it was truly filed. Also, it would've had to be used of what was contained in the filing from the hearing on the was not actually filed by the deadline per the Family Rules of Procedure.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name	:			Judge's N	ame:	
						Describe in your own fic and list all of the
2.	One needs filing disapexhibit att ECR. The attorney abe known exhibit the Exhibit the period. It is for the disaperneed by the someone has a s	to reference opeared. If ached to it. exhibit that the time known that I found to is/was paree, show thows there missal of the as tampered.	the case region reference to the case of this fill there were made a stipped to the companion of the compani	number ce exhibit two it e document was from this filing ney client privile eared altogether ling. Further, th nultiple calls reg ulation for the d wever, only one	as it versions as it versions a motion of the email ge with opposite. No one can some billings from garding this fill is now showin atter, and it we show in atter, and it we show in the show in atter, and it we show in the	R and record entirely. was under this case the on that was to have an ad showed up twice in the l which proved my ing counsel. When I let it eem to find this missing in the opposing side, ling during this time e OOP and a joint filing g on the ECR not both. would seem this wasn't osing side.
3.	informed r before deci- opinion 98 good cause complaint complaint premature Exhibit fiv	aint. I was ny attorney ding if it no 02, Exhibi to require would it br process to and irrelev e is the tra	before the (the only per I had filed eeded to be to Four, state the judge reing up the reingup to full the reingup the reingup to full the reingup th	Commission had erson who was a one, I was wait mentioned. This es just merely fi ecuse. Until the need to recuse bo". At the time of	issued their f ware of the cou ing for the out is was because ling a complai re is a finding ecause litigant of the status co on had yet to i	uring a status conference indings regarding my implaint, I had not come of the complaint in Judicial Advisory int against a judge is not of merit/truth to the ts would use the onference, it was assue their findings. with Judge
	Judge	: "	;			
	Judge	():			

4

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			

	COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE
Name	: Judge's Name:
	actions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the client's actions, so, um. There's nothing else"
	If Judge felt the need to mention the complaint when no party had mentioned it, further the mention of recusing herself at that time, one could conclude she herself knew that her impartiality could be reasonably questioned. Yet she felt that stating she had no ill will towards me is enough to prove she could remain impartial. She knew at that time there was in fact merit to my complaint and the advisory opinion states that if there is merit to the complaint the judge with or without a motion from the parties is to recuse given the impartiality could be reasonably questioned by an outside party.
	To dig a bit deeper into the question of whether recusal is required when there is a complaint that ends in a dismissal, but a private written warning is not specifically answered in any of the Arizona Judicial advisory opinions that are published So I sought out to see if any other state had an opinion specific to that question. answered that question in with an advisory opinion which can be found under exhibit six. It states that a judge is required to recuse themselves if in fact a private written warning is issued to the judge from a complaint on an ongoing case.
	Judge impartiality was in fact questioned in a motion to the court because of the judicial complaint and Judge ruled upon said motion herself and denied said motion. This motion is found under exhibit seven. In which Court rules state that when a judge's impartiality is questioned that nothing in the matter should proceed until a hearing on the impartiality and the basis for it are heard. However, that never happened. In fact, there are instances where I tried to remove Judge from my case because of her bias and actions but every time she denied it.
4.	The Commission had found Judge did not rule on a motion within sixty days of it being filed on the first complaint. Well, that warning went in one ear and out the other for her, she again did not put forth a ruling in a timely manner. The trial was on , and her ruling did not come until . Well past the sixty days in which it is supposed to be issued. She further allowed the opposing side additional time to file his attorney billings because he failed to correctly file the billings as she had specifically told him to do before she herself extended the deadline so he could correctly file what she told him to file the first time, and he failed to follow the instructions and submit the correct documentation.

5. Judge has consistently contradicted her own rulings or orders which favored the opposing side in every way. She allowed opposing side to have all his exhibits entered at one time due to time limits on but when asked to admit all

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: ____

	what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the my exhibits due to time limits on she ended the trial not affording myself the same privilege she had given the other side. Nor was my witness allowed or evidence vital to the case, and further very little cross examination was allowed either. All violations of due process in any court. See exhibit eight which shows transcripts of both evidentiary hearings and what took place.
6.	During the status conference Judge limited the scope of the trial stating that anything before the time the child went to live with her father was not relevant, see exhibit nine. Yet she used something from in her ruling which was well before the time period of and before the discovery items I had sought from the other side she said were not relevant. See attached transcripts where she limited the scope of things stating that things from back in were not relevant. Further the item was an unsubstantiated allegation of abuse against myself yet Judge will use that in her ruling to justify the complete removal of myself from my daughter's life but the unsubstantiated claims against the other side were not even mentioned and those were the reason the court was petitioned to modify in the first place. She knew of the pictures that existed of my daughter yet did not allow them to be entered in at trial or allow them to be entered when requested to reopen the record as they were also part of the original petition in which she state at the see exhibit nine, that we were limited to the things listed in the original petition to modify. She shows great favoritism because if she's going to use a -year-old unsubstantiated finding against me in the ruling then she should also use the unsubstantiated findings against the other party which were the primary reasons for the modification filing in . Further the allegation from was never mentioned by the other side nor was it ever even part of litigation by either side. Which further shows the clear bias of this judge. The unsubstantiated claim against myself had positive remarks as to my parenting abilities and that my child and I were closely bonded. Yet the reports against her father stated that something bad happened to the child in and further that the child disclosed sexual abuse. Which the pictures the judge intentionally blocked from being allowed on the record at all gave merit to the child's disclosure to

7. Judge gave the opposing side legal advice in open court and informed him what he needed to file and what he should be putting in the filing to the Court. Exhibit eight has the transcripts from the trial. This is clear favoritism as when I was without an attorney during the status conference, see Exhibit ten, when I asked about resources because the case seemed a bit one sided given my rights were

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.