# State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-048
Judge:	
Complainants:	

## **ORDER**

## November 16, 2022

The Complainants alleged a superior court judge made incorrect rulings in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Michael J. Brown and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 16, 2022.

#### CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

#### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-048

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name:				
me size to file a complaint. Describe in your own judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the commission understand your concerns. Additional relevant court documents. Please complete one side r records.				
not upholding the Rules of the Court  y did not hold the defendant to the Scheduling because of Defendants repeted lies. In the ionally Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Compell due to ies yet defendant did not. answer for nearly e rules and procedures pertaing to Summary 56. Which was argued on furnmary Judgment. A party may move for or the part of each claim or defense — on which mary judgment if the movant shows that there is ant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. The g or denying the motion. By local rule or the court orders otherwise, a party il days after the close of all discovery.  The fact cannot be or is genuinely disputed must				
cluding depositions, documents, electronically is (including those made for purposes of the er materials; or absence or presence of a genuine dispute, or ace to support the fact.  e Evidence. A party may object that the material a form that would be admissible in evidence. The cited materials, but it may consider other used to support or oppose a motion must be a admissible in evidence, and show that the estated.				

- (1) defer considering the motion or deny it;
- (2) allow time to obtain affidavits or declarations or to take discovery; or
- (3) issue any other appropriate order.
- (e) Failing to Properly Support or Address a Fact. If a party fails to properly support an assertion of fact or fails to properly address another party's assertion of fact as required by Rule 56(c), the court may:
- (1) give an opportunity to properly support or address the fact;
- (2) consider the fact undisputed for purposes of the motion;
- (3) grant summary judgment if the motion and supporting materials including the facts considered

for specified reasons, it cannot present facts essential to justify its opposition, the court may:

# CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE							
Name: _	Judg	ge's Name	***********				
Instructions: Use this form of words what you believe the junames, dates, times, and placemay be attached along with copthe paper only, and keep a copy	dge did that constitutes just that will help the commis- pies (not originals) of releva	idicial misconduct. Be ssion understand you ant court documents.	e specific and list all of the r concerns. Additional pages				
In Plaintiffs amended response Rule 56(a) that there is in fact Rule 56(b) time to file motion for Rule 56(c) Procedure Plaintiffs truthful in her statement of fact	genuine dispute to ALL ma or summary judgment long s showed that repeatedly D	terial facts in this cas expired as per the so	se. cheduling order.				
Yet despite all of this, Judge disgusting as the original crime rules of the court or the laws o	e, if not worse. Ms.	the defendant. Her r has abused her pov	ruling is almost as wer and has not upheld the				
Thank you for looking into this	matter and holding Judge	accoun	talbe for her actions.				