State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-070

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

January 11, 2023

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace pro tem was biased and did not follow the law when deciding an eviction case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 11, 2023.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own

words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the

names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional

pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side

of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On I attended a hearing for . The judge talked to plaintiff, then asked me my story. I gAgge his client wasn't in court. The judge allowed his absence and continued the case. How this is misconduct its bias. If I missed court he wouldn't continue, he would have found in the plaintiff favor, but plaintiff was allowed to be in on the day of a case he is #1) a slum lord. My water heater is not in an filed. This landlord enclosed room, the electric is on top of the water heater, outside not even close to whether proof, which is a firehazzard. Judge wouldn't accept any of that evidence. #2) thinks that the law doesn't apply to him. Mr entered my apartment three times no notice. The first time. I wasn't even home, had no idea he was there. He also thinksz that he is allowed to alter evidence. And it seems to me like he is if Judge has anything to do with it. The plaintiff missed court twice, he lied, he altered evidence, and the fact he lied was irrelevant to the judge, him missing court twice no problem, if I missed court once I loose by default. I was not given a fair hearing, I was not given my due process.



