State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-072
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

February 8, 2023

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace improperly issued an order of protection.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 8, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-072

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Nam	ne:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial mames, dates, times, and places that will help the commission pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	nisconduct. Be specific and list all of the n understand your concerns. Additional
Judge abused his position of authority by repeatedly grantir without justification or cause, In doing such, his behavior supports In part, because the orders fall outside of the A.R.S. guidelines who contact with the oher party, within six months. For the record:	an outward appearance of impropriety.
"an Injunction Against Harassment (A.R.S. 12-1809) is a court ord other than someone you live with, a person with whom you have n non-family member. Injunctions Against Harassment can be issued have an injunction granted or issued:	no relationship, or a current or former
The defendant must have committed acts of harassment in the last There must be at least two specific acts of harassment committed.	
In the present case, outside of legal settings, I have not had contact At which time, I exercised my legal right to serve him in In retaliation, Plaintiff signed and filed an Affidavit ride efforts and cause intentional mayhem. This is a crime in, and of its Contempt for lying, misleading and falsifying documents,	at his residence for a proceeding dled with fallacies to discourage my
misconduct, Fraud and failure to exercise judicial independence. It problematic. Research reflects, in fact, that in Judge	faced punishment by the tactics he continues to utilize in this
cases, a dismissal of this Order of Protection to be denied practicing on the bench- pending permanent removal from the ben	on its face for fraud, suspension from
In light of the above outlined transgressions, I believe, Judge unsuspecting, innocent litigants as a form of harassment and bully Similarly, so must the Plaintiff,	is a menace to society who preys on ing tactics. He must be stopped.
Respectfullu submitted.	