State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-074

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 8, 2023

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to timely process pleadings filed in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 8, 2023.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2022-074

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

___ Judge's name:

___ Date: __

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

This judicial bar complaint is a Rule 2.2 violation. A judge shall uphold and apply the laws, and shall perform all duties of the judicial office fairly and impartially. However, here Judge is not upholding the Rules of Criminal Procedures and continuously favors the State.

In this case, Defendant was allowed to file a supplemental brief next to his attorney's brief. The supplemental brief was filed on . Pursuant to the AZ. R. Crim. Proc., the State had 45 days to issue a response. The State chose to only file a response to the attorney's brief and waived filing a response to the Defendant's supplemental brief. The State's response was due on

ComeJudgere-ordered the State to file a response. A response that has beenabandoned and waived by the State. The State's response as of December is alreadyLATE.By allowing the Statefromis nothing more than acontinuance ofwhich the state did not request. More than
ruling is holding up the Defendant'safter the State chose to NOT file a response, Judge
and causing an unnecessary delay. See Rule 1.2 and the

Judgehas a pattern of doing this, favoring the State every step of the way. And this office hassent Judgea letter reprimanding him back in eitherorofpursuant tocaseBut to no one's surprise, Judgeis still demonstrating

favoritism towards the State and still not complying with the Rules of Criminal Procedures.Defendant'swas sent to the trial judge for a ruling onSeeminuteentry attached. This delay by Judgeis causing nothing but an unnecessary delay. Instead of a rulingthis month orby the trial judge, Defendant will not see a ruling on hisuntilor

of This is a prime example as to why our legislators has placed these Rules of Criminal Procedures in place, to protect the Fundamental Due Process of all parties involved. Judge has repeatedly shown that the Rules of Criminal Procures do not apply to county attorneys, rather, only to defendants. This is far from being impartial.

How many acts of injustice needs to be shown before Judge is removed from the bench? Judge has already shown that reprimanding him has no affect. Defendant recommends something more strict.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT:

I, the undersigned complainant, believe that Judge

one)

)

Ĵ

city of _

of the (check

FILE NO.

or

_____, Arizona, has committed misconduct.

and county of _____

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

In support of these allegations, I submit the attached statement of facts and request that the commission take appropriate action to investigate the judge's conduct.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Your Name:

_Judges' Name:__

Date:

This judicial bar complaint is a Rule 2.2 violation. A judge shall uphold and apply the laws, and shall perform all duties of the judicial office fairly and impartially. However, here Judge is not upholding the Rules of Criminal Procedures and continuously favors the State.

In this case, Defendant was allowed to file a supplemental brief next to his attorney's brief. The supplemental brief was filed on Pursuant to the AZ. R. Crim. Proc., the State had 45 days to issue a response. The State chose to only file a response to the attorney's brief and waived filing a response to the Defendant's supplemental brief. The State's response was due on

ComeJudgere-ordered the State's response was due onabandoned and waived by the State.The State's response as of December is alreadyLATE.By allowing the Statefromis nothing more than acontinuance ofwhich the state did not request.More thanafter the State chose to NOT file a response, Judgeattached Objection.and causing an unnecessary delay.See Rule 1.2 and the

Judge
sent Judgehas a pattern of doing this, favoring the State every step of the way. And this office has
a letter reprimanding him back in either
caseorpursuant tofavoritism towards the State and stillBut to no one's surprise, Judgeis still demonstrating

favoritism towards the State and still not complying with the Rules of Criminal Procedures.Defendant'swas sent to the trial judge for a ruling onSeeminuteentry attached. This delay by Judgeis causing nothing but an unnecessary delay. Instead of a rulingthis month orby the trial judge, Defendant will not see a ruling on hisuntil

This is a prime example as to why our legislators has placed these Rules of Criminal Procedures in place, to protect the Fundamental Due Process of all parties involved. Judge has repeatedly shown that the Rules of Criminal Procures do not apply to county attorneys, rather, only to defendants. This is far from being impartial.

How many acts of injustice needs to be shown before Judge is removed from the bench? Judge has already shown that reprimanding him has no affect. Defendant recommends something more strict.

Exibit A-1

for Post Conviction Relief as well as a Request to Withdraw Council. Court Waited To File it Causing it To Be Untimely; Judge of County denied both requests In a witness came Forward at Sentencing and Testified That no Crime had occurred, actually She was an alleged viction, at That point plea Should have been withdrawn, Judge abo me in open Court by Saying I was committing Crimes Since. It was over ins, altonney was a prosecutor in County which Constitutes a Conflict of interest, I was never informed of This