State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-089
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

December 7, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge allowed opposing counsel to engage in fraud and issued many improper legal decisions.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 7, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Name:

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-089

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:				
words what you	e this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the mes, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additiona				
pages may be atta	ched along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.				
1. Judge	has done the following heinous acts in the Court system: (1) willful and persistent				
failure to perform	duties and (2) conduct that brings the judiciary into disrepute.				
2. Judge	is aiding and abetting Defendant's attorney a member of the				
court, from expos evidence of her H	sing her crime of fraudulent schemes by not addressing and hiding prima facie material loax that she perpetrated into this and prior Courts that judges relied upon as being true				
in rendering judgr	ments.				
3. Judg€	is aiding and abetting a crime.				
4. Judg€	is in collusion with crime of fraudulent schemes.				
5. Judg€	is culpable of committing the crime of A.R.S. §13-2310 fraudulent schemes.				
6. Judg€	is aware that violated the following Federal statutes:				
	ode §1341 Fraud and Swindles.				
b. Title 18 U.S. Co	ode §1038 False Information and Hoaxes.				
c. Title 18 U.S. Co	ode §152(3) False Declaration.				
d Title 28 U.S. Co	ode §4101 Definition of Defamation.				
7. Judge	denied Plaintiff his right to present his case of Defendant's attorney's crime of				
	fraudulent schemes.				
8. Judge	denied Plaintiff a Fair Trial.				
Relevant Material					
9. Ms.	Managing Shareholder of an				
of the Cour					
	es) while representing () as its attorney.				
10. Ms.	perpetrated a Hoax that procured/induced presiding judges into signing judgments				
in her client	favor.				
11. Ms.	intentionally committed the crime of A.R.S. §13-2310 fraudulent schemes.				
12. Ms.	crime of A.R.S. §13-2310 fraudulent schemes has caused damages of greater				
	S.D.				
13.	acting in Bad Faith did something more heinous than just submitting fabricated				
false declarations	intentionally tricked the Courts into believing a heinous lie, a malicious				
deception that	terminated Plaintiff's employment for cause on Hoax).				
	x believable to the courts, did the following heinous acts:				
(a) She withheld n	naterial evidence (testimony of Custodian of Records) to the extent of				
intentionally violat	ing FRCP Rule 37,				
(b) She destroyed	material evidence (Spoliation of all material evidence related to her Hoax) and				
(c) She submitted	false declarations (that Defendant herself knew were false at the time of submittal) as				
material evidence					
execute propagating her H	ed these Machiavellian tactics, a.k.a. fraudulent schemes, as a means of promoting and loax. intentionally hid material from Plaintiff to make it impossible for Plaintiff				
Hoax as b	rits of his case and to controvert her Hoax. The Fact is that the Courts published Ms. peing true in its findings and as the basis for its rulings. The Fact is that				
never terminated i	Plaintiff's employment.				

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	4	Judge's Name	Judge's Name:			
words what you names, dates, may be attached	Use this form or plain paper of to be believe the judge did that constitutes, and places that will help the dalong with copies (not originals) and keep a copy of the complaint for	citutes judicial mis e commission under of relevant court of	sconduct. Be specific an	d list all of the		
14. The Arizor fraudulent sch No.	na Court cases in which emes are the following: Case No.		ut her crime of A.R.S. § Case No. and Case N	, Case		
	he following: Case No. and Case No.	Cas		Case No.		
Judicial System	's execution of the crime of A.R.S m with her Hoax.	. §13-2310 fraudu	lent schemes scammed	all the		
	No.		Casetext Search + 0	Citator		
	17. Witnesses with Knowledge of Ms. 's crime of A.R.S. §13-2310 fraudulent schemes:					
	s Custodian of Records					
b.	(Former)					
d.	nhone numbe	er is a, Arizona	claim number s	Division		
FEPA Charge:		a, Mizoria		DIVISION		
f. Ms.	a Board-Certified		specializing	in		
g.	(Victim)					
Note: In and has since	continued operations under the na		ged with			