# State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-096
Judge:	
Complainant:	

#### **ORDER**

#### December 7, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge allowed opposing counsel to engage in fraud and issued many improper legal decisions.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 7, 2022.

## CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-096

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you beli names, dates, times pages may be attache	tis form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own eve the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional dalong with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side
of the paper only, and	keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
2. Judge the court, from expos material evidence of being true in renderii 3. Judge 4. Judge 5. Judge 6. Judge a. Title 18 U.S. Code b. Title 18 U.S. Code c. Title 18 U.S. Code	has done the following heinous acts in the Court system: (1) willful and erform duties and (2) conduct that brings the judiciary into disrepute. is aiding and abetting Defendant's attorney Ms. , a member of ing her crime of fraudulent schemes by not addressing and hiding prima facie her Hoax that she perpetrated into this and prior Courts that judges relied upon as in iudaments.  is aiding and abetting a crime. is in collusion with Ms crime of fraudulent schemes. is culpable of committing the crime of A.R.S. §13-2310 fraudulent schemes. is aware that Ms violated the following Federal statutes: §1341 Fraud and Swindles. §1038 False Information and Hoaxes. §152(3) False Declaration. §4101 Definition of Defamation. denied Plaintiff his right to present his case of Defendant's attorney's crime
of A.R.S. §13-2310 f	audulent schemes.
8. Judge	denied Plaintiff a Fair Trial.
Relevant Material: 9. Ms. of the Court, fraudulent schemes) 10. Ms.	perpetrated a Hoax that procured/induced presiding judges into signing judgments
in her client . 11. Ms.	s favor. intentionally committed the crime of A.R.S. §13-2310 fraudulent schemes.
12. Ms. than \$100,000 U.S.D	s crime of A.R.S. §13-2310 fraudulent schemes has caused damages of greater
(a) She withheld mat intentionally violating (b) She destroyed ma (c) She submitted fall material evidence.  Ms. executed to propagating her Hoar	terminated Plaintiff's employment for cause on (Hoax). elievable to the courts, Ms. did the following heinous acts: erial evidence (testimony of to the extent of FRCP Rule 37, eterial evidence (Spoliation of all material evidence related to her Hoax) and ele declarations (that Defendant herself knew were false at the time of submittal) as these Machiavellian tactics, a.k.a. fraudulent schemes, as a means of promoting and intentionally hid material from Plaintiff to make it impossible for Plaintiff
to present the merits Hoax as bein never terminated Pla	of his case and to controvert her Hoax. The Fact is that the Courts published Ms. g true in its findings and as the basis for its rulings. The Fact is that has ntiff's employment.

CONFIDENTIAL
Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
1							

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Po.	Ju	Judge's Name:				
words what yo names, dates, t may be attache	ou believe the judge times, and places the ed along with copies	lain paper of the san did that constitutes at will help the comm (not originals) of rele ne complaint for your n	judicial miscon mission understa evant court docu	duct. Be specific and and your concerns. A	list all of the dditional pages		
	emes are the follow Case No.			ner crime of A.R.S. §1 se No. and Case N	Case		
15. The schemes are the	Court cases in who he following: Case N and Ca		out her crime o Case N		audulent Case No.		
	s execution of the m with her Hoax.	crime of A.R.S. §13-	2310 fraudulen	t schemes scammed	all the		
17. Witnesses a.	with Knowledge of	No. Ms s		Casetext Search + C S. §13-2310 frauduler			
b. Ms. ' c. Ms.	Former	)					
d. e. Ms.	-	) phone number is at Arizona, Ariz	ona	claim number s			
f. Ms.	, a Bo	ard-Certified		specializing i	in		
g.	(Victim)						
Note: In	" ) merged with						