

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-105

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 8, 2023

The Complainant alleged a hearing officer made improper rulings in a small claims case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 8, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2022-105****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The judge had my information in front of him. He had me tell what happened. I have a warranty on my _____ and I had free oil changes and tire rotations. The _____ were full and it was time to changed my oil. I use to live in _____ and _____ had a available spot on _____. I drop straight down to their dealership to get the oil change done and my mileage was at _____. They inspected my vehicle and said my vehicle was ok. I left and went back to _____ in _____. I noticed my car was making noise on the left front side. I got home and went to _____ and dropped off the car on _____ for a week because they were backed up. On _____ I picked up the vehicle and my service advisor, _____, asked me who last served my car and I told them _____ did. He informed me that they did not tighten up the front left tire lug nuts and it caused damaged to the brake pad and rotor, the tire and rim. I had to do the whole front brakes, get a wheel alignment because that got damaged as well. I had to replace all tires so they could be the same. I spent _____ total to get back my car. I contacted _____ at _____, but he declined to reimbursed me for the repairs. I reported this to my lawyer _____ in _____. This incident happened in _____, so they sent this complaint to their sister company named _____ in _____. _____ sent a letter to _____ to get them to reimbused me but the _____ General Manager, still declined to reimbused me. I had them served and on _____ the Judge, _____ asked me what happened and I told him. _____ said said they had evidence that they did not touch my tires but did not provide proof. The Judge basically was wondering why I went out of state to have my oil change done. He said the went from lawyer to lawyer basically if my claim was a waste of time. He was being bias and had his mind made up. I provided my evidence. _____ was trying to put the blame on _____ who did my tire rotation back on _____ and my mileage was at _____. Between _____ and _____ my mileage used was _____. If _____ was at fault, I would have noticed the noise in _____. His ruling was unfair and I need this to be reported. _____ messed up my vehicle at a cost to me of _____ and I am never buying a _____ again. Thank you!