

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-106

---

Judges:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

March 1, 2023

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a superior court judge and a city court judge hearing separate civil matters.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 1, 2023.

**From:**  
**Sent:**  
**To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct  
**Subject:**

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you for your reply?

I am wanting to file a complaint against:

a) . For Complete Failure To Conduct A Valid Investigation &  
Deliberately Ignoring Witness Testimony That Confirmed The Facts That The  
Used To Deny Validity Of Claim. The Also Failed To Disclose  
That Witness's Testimony.

The Law Firm and are guilty of Professional  
Misconduct & Malpractice and Caused Me Hundreds Of Thousands Of Dollars Of  
Damages As Result, Then Lied To Without Consequences.

b) Judge Court, For Multiple Violations Of  
Law, Civil Rights Violations, Az. & U.S. Rules Of Civil Procedure Violations and  
Criminal Codes.

c) Judge  
Case.

for Biased Rulings and Insufficient Understanding Of

As for time and backlogs, I am aware that the Judgement was entered by Judge  
in and that may cause a problem in this matter. I do not have  
and cannot retain legal help as I am blacklisted by and no lawyers will  
help me.

**Attachments:**

---

**From:**

**Sent:**

**To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct

**Subject:**

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

This is #1 of multiple emails    Help Needed, Time Lost Waiting On Reply From  
(In Error) Time Sensitive / Important .....

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:**

**To:**

**Sent:**

**Subject:**

Ma'am,

The following attachments pertain to and support my        claims/ issues. The attached are not all inclusive but a vast assortment to begin with. I have a plethora of other documents, emails and replies from various state and federal agencies too.

**IN THE STATE OF ARIZONA, COUNTY OF**

**APPELLANT**

**APPELLEE**

**LOWER COURT- CASE**

**COURT**

**MEMORANDUM**

The court may impose reasonable time limits on a proceeding unless doing so precludes a meaningful opportunity to present evidence.<sup>4</sup> Volk v. Brame, 235 Ariz. 462, 468, ¶ 20, 333 P.3d 789, 795 (App. 2014); Gamboa, 223 Ariz. at 402, ¶ 13, 224 P.3d at 218; see generally Mathews v. Eldridge, 424 U.S. 319, 333, 36 S. Ct. 893, 902, 47 L. Ed. 2d 18 (1976)(explaining that due process generally requires the opportunity to be heard “at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner”) (citation and quotation omitted). The party asserting a denial of due process must show how the lack of additional time prejudiced his case. Brown v. U.S. Fid. & Guar. Co., 194 Ariz. 85, 91, ¶ 30, 977 P.2d 807, 813 (App. 1998).

Fuentes v. Fuentes, 209 Ariz. 51, 56, ¶ 23, 97 P.3d 876, 881 (App. 2004) (court abuses

discretion if it makes “an error of law in the process of exercising its discretion”).

Little v. Little, 193 Ariz. 518, 520, ¶ 5, 975 P.2d 108, 110 (1999)

(abuse of discretion exists if no competent evidence supports court’s decision).

The court failed to provide a meaningful opportunity to present my case at the hearing by preventing me from speaking when attempting to provide answers, by controlling my testimony and failing to allow me to examine my witness, in violation of my due process rights.

Plaintiff presented no evidence to support his argument that Defendant was motivated to falsify criminal charges against him, nor evidence to support his allegations of and the court ignored the official document of evidence I submitted at hearing, which is a letter of intent to charge the Plaintiff with pending fines and actions for his criminal conduct, which the court labeled as an exhibit from Defendant. Plaintiff has been charged and fined. The Judge has failed to submit evidence of criminal acts by Plaintiff for prosecution by attorney, even after having seen the evidence.

Defendant argues on appeal, that he should have been permitted, under the Sixth Amendment and Arizona Rule of Evidence 404(b), to present evidence of the prior incidents because it would have helped prove Plaintiff’s motivation to falsify the allegations against Defendant. Specifically, Defendant argues the Plaintiff had been investigated and disciplined in the past and those prior incidents motivated him to discredit Defendant by falsely accusing him of harassment and trespassing him because he feared investigation and discipline for

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**