

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-118

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 8, 2023

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge issued a delayed ruling in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton, Barbara Brown, and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 8, 2023.

Comp

Dated:

2022-118

RE: Investigation / complaint

TO: Ariz. Judicial Committee
1501 W. Washington, Ste 229
Phoenix, AZ, 85007

To Whom It May Concern,

I need to know if Judge _____ or his family is
connected with or are a party of interest to the corporation
?

This comes from the fact that in _____
etal; _____ is set (and has been set since _____)
for waiting for a Ruling for 'Motion For Default Judgement'. Since
Then Plaintiff _____ has been Delayed, Then Denied, a Default
Judgement when he has followed all The Rules [But Clerk of The Court
failure to send a packet], but still having to have to deal with his making
up of Rules (a packet of some type that isn't mentioned in The Rules)
That are mentioned in The Ariz. Rules of Court.

This all speaks of unethical actions taken by this Judge and it
must be stopped! Thank you for any assistance you can offer in this
situation.

Yours Truly,

cc: