

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-119

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 8, 2023

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings and violated his rights during a criminal trial.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Michael J. Brown, Delia R. Neal, and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 8, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2022-119****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I WAS WRONGFULLY CONVICTED BY THE JUDGE ALLOWING THE STATE TO NOT ONLY VIOLATE MY FIFTH AND SIXTH AMENDMENT (APPLIED TO THE STATES THROUGH THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT) BUT ALSO ALLOWED THE STATE TO USE ALTERED VIDEO FOOTAGE AGAINST ME AS STATE EVIDENCE AFTER THE FACT THAT ALL OF THIS WAS BROUGHT TO HIS ATTENTION. THE VICTIM DESCRIBED THE SUSPECTS BUT WHEN ASKED IF HE CAN DESCRIBE ME AS ONE OF THE SUSPECTS OR IF HE EVEN SAW ME BEFORE; THE VICTIM SOMEHOW DID NOT REMEMBER. IN OTHER WORDS, THE VICTIM COULD NOT AND DID NOT DESCRIBE ME AS ONE OF THE SUSPECTS HE SAW NOR HAS THE VICTIM EVER SEEN ME BEFORE SO THAT ALONE IS PROOF IN IT'S SELF THAT SHOWS THAT I DID NOT HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THIS CRIME. ALTHOUGH, I TRIED TO RAISE CONCERN AND ARGUE MY DEFENSE ABOUT EVERYTHING WRONG WITH MY CASE FROM FALSE ACCUSATIONS AND ASSUMPTIONS BEING MADE TO NO ACTUAL PROOF, LACK OF FOUNDATION, TESTIMONIES IMPROBABLE, INCONSISTENT IN A STATEMENT WITH THE FALSE ALLEGATION'S BEING MADE BY THE OFFICER'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THIS ACCOUNT WITH NO AFFIRMATIVE PROOF OF THE ALLEGED OFFENSE AND DUE TO INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE FROM THE WITHHOLDING, ALTERATION AND TAMPERING WITH EVIDENCE, THE JUDGE MANIFESTED BIAS AND PREJUDICE BY IGNORING AND DENYING EVERYTHING WRONG WITH MY CASE FROM THE INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL TO EVEN DENYING ME THE REQUESTING TO PAY FOR A PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR BECAUSE THE PUBLIC DEFENDERS

_____ DID NOT WANT TO APPOINT ONE TO MY CASE WHICH I TRIED TO REQUEST FROM THE BEGINNING OF MY CASE WITH THESE PUBLIC DEFENDERS AND WHEN REQUESTING FOR A CHANGE OF COUNSEL DUE TO INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE; THE JUDGE ACCUSED ME OF CREATING THE PROBLEMS AMONGST ME AND PUBLIC DEFENDERS

I WAS DENIED A CHANGE OF COUNSEL AND WAS FORCED TO KEEP AS MY PUBLIC DEFENDER FOR TRIAL DUE TO MANIPULATION BY THE JUDGE. DURING MY TRIAL DUE TO MISREPRESENTATION BY PUBLIC DEFENDER NOW PRIVATE LAWYER, I HAD TO GO PRO SE. DURING TRIAL THE JUDGE

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

--

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

DENIED ME THE RIGHT TO ADMIT INTO EVIDENCE THE ALTERED BLACK AND WHITE VIDEO FOOTAGE PRESENTED TO ME AS STATE EVIDENCE WHICH ATTORNEY _____ SOMETHING FORGOT TO INTRODUCE AND ADMIT AS PART OF THE EVIDENCE. THE JUDGE EVEN NEGLECTED TO REVIEW THE ALTERED EVIDENCE WHEN I REQUESTED IT; BUT THE JUDGE ALLOWED THE PROSECUTOR _____ TO USE ALTERED VIDEO FOOTAGE AGAINST ME AS PART OF STATE EVIDENCE THAT I WAS NEVER ALLOWED TO REVIEW PRIOR TO THAT DAY DURING TRIAL NOR DID I RECEIVE AS PART OF STATE EVIDENCE AGAINST ME DURING MY TRIAL. THE JUDGE ALLOWED THE PROSECUTOR _____ TO GIVE ATTORNEY _____ SOME KIND OF HARD DRIVE OR WHATEVER IT'S CALLED TO DOWNLOAD VIDEO FOOTAGES THE STATE HAD THAT I NEVER RECEIVED NOR GOT TO REVIEW AS STATE EVIDENCE. WHATEVER WAS ALL DOWNLOADED TO ATTORNEY _____ LATER DURING MY TRIAL. ALTHOUGH I TRIED TO RAISE CONCERN AND ARGUE MY DEFENSE IT WAS TO NO AVAIL BY THE JUDGE. I HONESTLY FEEL LIKE WAS MANIPULATED BY THE JUDGE BECAUSE OF MY HISTORY WITH THE COUNTY OF _____ AND BECAUSE I DO NOT KNOW ANY PROPER KNOWLEDGE OF TERMS, STANDARDS, OR PROCEDURES WHEN IT COMES TO REPRESENTING MYSELF IN A COURT ROOM BUT WHAT I DO KNOW IS THAT MY RIGHTS AS A DEFENDANT WERE VIOLATED TO EXTREME DISCREPANCIES AND DUE TO MANIPULATION IN DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY WHICH IS A VIOLATION OF MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS IN ITS SELF. I WAS WRONGFULLY CONVICTED BY THE JUDGE AND THIS IS MISCONDUCT BY OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, ABUSE OF DISCRETION AND ABUSE OF PROCESS. THIS IS NOT RIGHT COMING FROM THOSE THAT ARE SUPPOSED TO BE UP HOLDING LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL AND I AM NOT THE ONLY ONE THAT HAS BEEN WRONGFULLY CONVICTED BY THIS SAME JUDGE. WHETHER ONE WAS INNOCENT OR THEIR RIGHTS WERE VIOLATED; SYSTEMIC REFORM NEEDS TO HAPPEN; NOT ONLY WITH THIS JUDGE; BUT IN THE COUNTY OF _____; SO THIS DOES NOT CONTINUE TO HAPPEN. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME!