State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-122	
Judges:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

November 23, 2022

The Complainant alleged multiple superior court judicial officers made improper rulings in a criminal case and violated his rights.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 23, 2022.

5. Brief statement of facts. Attach a brief statement of the specific facts on
which the claim of judicial misconduct or disability is based. Include what
happened, when and where it happened, and any information that would help, an investigator of the allegation, which is attach see, content only one copy.
an investigator of the allegation, Which is attach see, content only one copy.
EXHIBITCAl page 1, Superior Court of Asizona- County Digital Copy of proceeding,
EXHIBIT(A2) police department narrative text Hardcopy page 1,
EXHIBIT(B-1) police department General offense Report pages 1,
EXHIBIT(C-1) Department of corrections Request for warrant of arrest page 1-2.
EXHIBIT(C-2) Direct complaint pages 1-2.
EXHIBIT (C-3) Indictment pages 1-2
EXHIBIT (B-1) final Release order page 1 of 2, (P-1)
EXHIBIT (D-2) NOT GUILTY ARRAIGNMENT pages 1-4.
EXHIBIT(D-3) final Release Order pages 1 of 2.
EXHIBIT(D-4) Notice of disclosure and state allegation of offenses pages 1-19.
EXHIBIT (E-2) department of Corrections, Automated Summary report pages to
EXHIBIT (E-1) Sentence order of imprisonment pages 1-8.
EXHIBIT(G) Case DISMISSED pages 1-2.
EXHIBIT (G-1) Indictment pages 1-2.
EXHIBIT(G-3) TRUE BILL ISSUE WARRANT page 1
EXHIBIT(G=4) fina RelEase order pages 1-2.
EXHIBIT(H-1) NOT GUILTY ARRAIGNMENT pages 1-4.
EXHIBIT (F-1) STATE'S Allegation pages 1-14,
EXHIBIT(F9) State notice of Disclosure and request fordisclosure pages 1-9.
EXHIBIT (I-1) INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE page 1-6.
EXHIBIT (I-9) Notice of State's Rule 15, Request for Physical Evidence pages 1-6
EXHIBIT (I-3) State Request for a Rule 609 hearing, pages 1-7
30F14.

EXHIBIT (H-1) The removal of transcripts and exhibit of grandjury pages 1-4.
EXHIBIT (H-2) Department of correction offender Report pages 1-9.
EXHIBITCH-5) STATEUS CONFERENCE page 1-2.
EXHIBIT (H-4) Threat / INTIMIDATE PERSON DETECTIVE NARRATIVE page 12
EXHIBIT (H-3) department of public safety pages 1-2
"ATTENTION CLERK OF THE Cir the EXHIBIT NEEDED TO BE COPYS MORE TIMES,
COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT AND DISABILITY:
I are filing this complaint of judicial misconduct and
disability comitted in the court of County in
, the misconduct involve superior court judges and attorney who
assistant police officer and one other, judge from the state of
and different agency to engaged in this unlawful act of false imprison-
ment. department of correctional office, who falsified
criminal history Record, in and and submitted fraudulent
document to Steal trust in and false imprison him in the
State of by useding agreenment database system to engaged
in this act, by deleting release order and prior years
sentence that he already completed, and record which was
also alter. was politically charged as a gang member
in this act, by deleting release order and prior years sentence that he already completed, and record which was also alter. was politically charged as a gang member which the office was in the News for, because officer PD
charge Orotestor with agra member charges and are under investigation by
the right now, but the cognizable misconduct which is conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditions to the administration of
prejudicial to the effective and expeditious to the administration of
4 o F 14.

	N
business of the court which is criming lact:	s to used than position to
Folse imprisoned to commit theft of	trust in the state of
false imprisoned to commit theft of and imprison him in the state of	the process of the misconduct
involved State and federal Law that was break	sin hu osvernment employees
Involved State and reactal saw may was steen	of life and liberty and property
during office hours, and was deprived a	La casteal his towest fraudulently
without due process, to false, imprison him	70 CONTION MIS 18001, Managerey.
La la company son for the state of	ware not and the trial
has been in prison Now for in a	1- a-lile . These issue
judge and other Judicial officer won't aloud	to petition these issue
to the court of appeals, by continue to used	their position to exploit
of financial disclosure of his trus	t of bonds sold in his
name in the state of without his con	sent and to used government
agency for improper purpose to commit these	e fraudulent acts during
office hours, with cruel and unusual puni	ishment inflicted. The fact's
is support by exhibit's and a declaration	sign by under
penalty of perjury.	
FACT OF COMPLAINT: On	was arrested in
at the in	or for
	a gang member; one count)
one count) Threatening or Intimidating as a Assault: one count) Disorderly Conduc	t' see EXHIBIT B-1
Operational Status CL	OSED, the reason why the
case was closed is because indep	arder to
case was closed is because judge be release after he find No probable ca	use in the case see EXHIBIT
DIFFIL release ander and of the he	action of his counsel
D-1 final release order, and at the head wasn't present, See EXHIBIT A-1	County divital con
5 of i	U signal capy
5 of 1	7.

of the proceeding, but the order to release was deleted from the database system on criminal history record was deleted from the database system which is a fact according to the audit conduct with of criminal history record. See EXHIBIT H-3 audit #.

in supporting facts) officer file a fraudulent police report document dated a month before the charges or crime happen.

(See. EXHIBIT A-2 in supporting facts.) police Department Narrative text hardcopy). Officer was assigned this case days Later on day after the case had already been dismiss on. In "Operational Status Closed" and release order granted, but this information was deleted from the database system, which is a criminal act. (See EXHIBIT B-1 in supporting facts) and officee turn in fraudulent paper of reports and document and the clerk of the court along with the County office filed them fraudulent Chargeson

Records and reports \$ 3 \$ 18 USCS 2071 2072 2073.) whoever being an officer, clerk, agent, or other employee of the United States or other employee of the united states or other employee of the united states or other employee of the united state or any of its agencies, charged with the duty of keeping accountings or records of any Kind, with intent to deceive, mislead, injure or defraud, makes in any such account or record any false or fictitious entry or record of any matter relating to or connected with his duties: or whoever, being amofficer, agent, or other employee of the united States or any of it's agencies, charged with the duty of receiving, holding 6 of 14.

or paying over moneys or securities to, For, or on behalf of the
united states, or of receiving or holding in trust top any person
any moneys or securities, with INTent, make a false, report
of such moneys or securities shall be fined under this title or
imprisoned not more than years, or both. (18 USCS \$ 1030-
fraud and related activity in connection with computer, tampering?
Fine or imprisonment for not more than years, or Both.
THE OF THE OF THE TAIL TO THE STATE OF THE S
The information was filed by the County office
and the clerk of the court, which violated Ariz. Rules
of professional Comduct Pule. 3.8@16) and Gl) and the Law
which was file on and approved on by
which was file on and approved on by See EXHIBIT B-1 in support of facts) and the deleted
information wasn't turnover to until months
On Indianal and Indianal On
otter training conviction on
parole officer and supervisor
parole officer and supervisor engage in the same fraudulentact to assistant in the
engage in the same fraudulent act to assistant in the false prosecution and file a request for warrant of Arrest for
after trial and conviction on On parole officer and supervisor and supervisor engage in the same fraudulent act to assistant in the false prosecution and file a request for warrant of Arrest for Absconder Released without Approved placement, Allegation
Nosconder Volensed Without HIVIOVED VIACENET, HIVEGATION
Nosconder Volensed Without HIVIOVED VIACENET, HIVEGATION
I and 2. Nor Sanction imposed, Authorized by parole officer. See EXHIBIT C-1 page 1-Z in supporting the facts) This act was done to cover up having wo indictment, and
I and 2. Nor Sanction imposed, Authorized by parole officer. See EXHIBIT C-1 page 1-Z in supporting the facts) This act was done to cover up having wo indictment, and
I and 2. Nor Sanction imposed, Authorized by parole officer. See EXHIBIT C-1 page 1-Z in supporting the facts) This act was done to cover up having wo indictment, and
Absconder Released Without Approved placement, Allegation #I and 2. Nor Sanction imposed, Authorized by parole officer. See EXHIBIT C-I page 1-2 in supporting the facts) This act was done to cover up having we indictment, and the deputy County Attorney complaint and used Fraudulent indictment information done on and was electronically filed by
I and 2. Nor Sanction imposed, Authorized by parole officer. See EXHIBIT C-1 page 1-Z in supporting the facts) This act was done to cover up having wo indictment, and

•

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.