### State of Arizona

### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-127
Judge:	
Complainant:	

#### **ORDER**

September 21, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly dismissed his petition for post-conviction relief.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Christopher W. Ames & Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 21, 2022.

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

FILE NO. 2022-127

## TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT:

I, the undersigned complainant, believe that Judge of the (check							
one)							
city of and county of, Arizona, has committed misconduct.							
GENERAL ALLEGATIONS							
I also allege that the judge's misconduct involves one or more of the following (check all that apply):							
A plea of guilty or no contest or a finding of guilty to a crime punishable as a felony under Arizona or federal law or of any other crime that involves moral turpitude under such law.							
A disability that seriously interferes with the performance of judicial duties that is or is likely to become permanent.							
Willful misconduct in office.							
Willful and persistent failure to perform duties.							
Habitual intemperance (addiction to alcohol or drugs).							
Conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice that brings the judicial office into disrepute.							
A violation of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct.							
In support of these allegations, I submit the attached statement of facts and request that the commission take							
appropriate action to investigate the judge's conduct.							
Complainant's name: Telephone:							
Street Address:							
City: Zip Code: Zip Code:							
Complainant's Signature Date:							
Check here if you are involved in a lawsuit that is still pending before this judge. Case No.:							

### COMPLAINT ACAMET GUDGE.

os. Complained filed his PETITION FOR STATUTED SPECIAL ACTION (A.P.S. \$ 12-202) or.

12. According to A.P.S. & 12-2021, this Deit of Aradomus is not of all discretionary and is not subordinate to a right of appeal - it is the right of appeal.

9 03. RULE 32 PROCEEDING DISMISSED by

10 (Exhibit 02)

sourced Defindant's due process rights by descrit
so and an abuse of discretion with chims the Court "construct" the PETITION FOR STATUTORY
SPECIAL ACTION (A.D.S. § 12-2022) as a RUKE 32 PROCEDURG. (Exhibit 02)

10 05. Pursuant to Ariz. R. Crim. P. 32.9(5)(1) - "The State must file its response no later than after the defendant file the petition."

is evidenced of denying due process by dismissing Take to 32 without any objections by the State - the second is the proof of misconduct.

on Complained notifies the Arizon Commission on Judicial Conduct of Judge

knowingly: (2) violating the Arizon. Code of Gudicial Conduct; (2)

a committed a eximinal act of perjug that reflects adversely on the integrity of judicial

a proceedings; (3) engaged in conclud involving dishenesty, find, deceit, and missipare entition

and; (4) engaged in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice. The second is the proof of those site, as evidenced on (Eshibitor) RESPECTFULLY SUBMITED on this

	1				
			The Continues of the Co	-	
				-	
5					
Control of the Contro					
	N THE	DIE	TOF THE STATE OF ARIZONA		
10	IN AND	FOR THE CO	WATE OF		
12			Case No.		
	V5.				
н		of the			
		in			
	and for the County of the	spondent,	PETITION FOR STATUTORY		
17	and		SPECIAL ACTIONS		
17		Attorney	(A. Z.S. § 12-2021)		
19	of the State of Pricons, in sol for	_/	( Little ) Les Filles		
10	of Kerl Party in 1	- 1			
31	1				
	2 110111111	1 21 12	1 10 101 1000		
13			educe for Speint Actions; A.R.J. § 12-2021;		
- 1	Ariz. Const. Art. 6 \$85, 18, and; U.S. Const. Amond. XIV, Politioner,				
25	in profits persons, respectfully to	quest that the	e decision in the above entitled course		
u					
14		<i>(</i> .)			
13		(1)			

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.