## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-128

Judge:

Complainant:

## ORDER

### February 16, 2023

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly asserted jurisdiction and made other improper rulings in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 16, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

# 2022-128

#### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name: Name: Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records. Judge disregarded Mr. oral argument that Mr has no to Arizona ET AL.( Court, 16-466. Under our current case law, a state court may exercise general, or all-purpose, jurisdiction over a defendant (corporation) only if its "affiliations with the State are so 'continuous and systematic' as to render [it] essentially at home in the forum State." 564 U. S. 915, 919 ( ). abused her discretion by not conforming to Judge Court Case Law, already decided. Please refer to the Court Records. Mr. requested but has not received them. He believes the date of the Record to be is in violation of Arizona Const. Article VI § 14 of the Arizona Constitution. She has Judge allowed a tax case to proceed in a venue where an Arizona Court has conclusively established it's sole jurisdiction. (Arizona Court). Judge has allowed Plaintiff's and an Arizona attorney to perpetrate, defraud, that is lie to the Court and Mr. Mr. client is not as his Summons purports, , an individual, but and who defrauded Mr. and the Internal Revenue Service by filing false tax returns from Arizona ( ), not from ( home of record) as purports and Judge has aided and abetted in fraud. has, on every motion filed by Judge ruled in favor, thereby prejudicing (defendant). Arizona Court rules do not authorize Judge to dismiss Court Case Law. several requests for in camera conferences. Her assistant, Judge has not allowed Mr. stated, 'that's not going to happen,' upon receiving email requests. The Court, Judge and it's personnel have consistently been weeks in entering motions. while entering motions as soon as they are filed, allowing Judge to rule on behalf. and the Court receiving such as subpoena ( ) to identify purported (in violation of AZ rule 45(6)(a)(ii)) to Answer without Court client, allowing greater than recognition and / or sanctioning Mr. and his client(s). has no access to the Court Records. Judge has allowed to perpetrate his false claims against though disallowed by statute in the state of (Rule 12, and the Constitution). is not a Community Property State. This is conclusively established, though Judge consistently disregards and denies to abide by the Constitution. Judge has allowed to perpetrate false claims against Associates in Tax, a that doesn't exist and is not registered with the State as an operating entity. Defendant. requests, prays, that the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct will not allow the County Court to proceed or preside over this Purported Tax Dispute. Thank you.