

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-135

Judge:

Complainant

ORDER

August 24, 2022

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by an appellate court judge considering his criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown and Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 24, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-135

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

(See Attached)

1
COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

2022-135

COMPLAINANT

I AM FILING A COMPLAINT AGAINST

FOR

HIS FAILURE TO CORRECTLY APPLY RELEVANT STATUTES JUDICIAL
PROCESS ACCORDING TO LAW .. WHILE ALLOWING ERROR-FILLED

DECISIONS TO STAND IN MY PRO SE PETITION FOR

WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS.

REFUSED TO ACKNOWLEDGE AND APPLY THE

CL

CLOSE SCRUTINY

BUT FULLY ACKNOWLEDGES AND

IS ALLOWING .. THE ENFORCEMENT OF

STATUTES.

DUE PROCESS, AND FAIRNESS CANNOT BE FOUND

DECISION TO DENY MY PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS

CORPUS.

THIS IS A VIOLATION OF THE CODE OF

I HAVE PROVIDED THE COMMISSION WITH A COPY OF THE

PORTION OF MY PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AND THE COPIES

OF THE

AT APPENDIX A.

I STRONGLY REQUEST THAT

BE DISBARRED. HIS LACK

OF PROFESSIONAL WORKMANSHIP DISREGARD FOR HIS OATH OF OFFICE, AND HIS

RETREAT FROM UPHOLDING THE RULE OF LAW ARE IN ORDER TO

EXPEDITE

3
HIS FAILURE TO ADJUDICATE FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY IN

WARRANTING CLAIM WILL ALLOW ~~THIS~~ THE CITIZENS OF THIS STATE
TO INFLECT A UNCONSTITUTIONALLY INFIRM, ILLEGAL AND IMMORAL

THE GIVES EACH

THE POWER TO GRANT NONVOTER WRITS & HABEAS CORPUS

IS PART OF AN GROUP BUT DECISIONS IN THIS REALM ARE

SOLELY HIS.

I AM 95% BLIND AND BEG THE COMMISSIONS INDULGENCE.

SUBMITTED THIS

APPENDIX A

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**