State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-138
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

August 24, 2022

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by an appellate court judge considering his criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 24, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

rizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-138

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Nam	11
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size the words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial mames, dates, times, and places that will help the commission under be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court docume only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	misconduct. Be specific and list all of the erstand your concerns. Additional pages may

(See Attachel)

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

I AL FLANG A COMPLANT AGAINST

FOR HIS

FALURE TO CORRECTLY APPLY RELEMNT STATUTES AND FOLLOW JUDICIAL

PROCESS. WHILE ALLOWING EROR-FILLED

DECISION

TO STAVD ... IN BY PRO SE PETITION FOR URIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

REFUED TO APPLY AND ACKNOWLEDGE THROUGH CLOSE

SCRUNTINY

WHILE 16NORING THESE RELEVANT STATUTES CONTAINED WITHIN

lux Cthu,

RADILY RECONZES AD ALLOWS THE

INPLEMENTION OF

EQUAL PRITECTION DUE PROCESS THO FARRIESS CANNOZ FOUND

FOUND IN

DENIAL OF MY PETITION FOR WRIT

6F AMENSCARUS.

BHS AND PREJUDICE IN MY CHSE IS A

VIOLATION OF CANON TWO, CORE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT, LAPARTHATIV AND

I HAVE PROVIDED THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT WITH THE LAW AND ARGUMENT POSTION OF MY PROJE PETITION FOR WRIT OF HAPES

THE ATACKED APPENOUS A.

I STRANOLY REQUEST THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL POWDLET

FIND

PENHL FM PETITION TO BE SEVEREDA

LACKING IN PROTESSIONAL WORKMANTHIP AND A VIOLATION FHE DATH TO

OFFICE IN ADDITION TO A CENTE VIOLETIAN.

CONDUCT ALLOWS THE STATE BY

WAY OF THE

TO GHOULISHLY INFLET A

CONSTITUTIONAL INFRA,

4PON MY

- & ARROWA CONSTIGITION EMPONERS EXCH S

WITH THE GRAVING OF A WRIT OF HABEAS

CORPUS.)

APPENDIX A

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.