

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-139

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

August 24, 2022

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by an appellate court judge considering his criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 24, 2022.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2022-139**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

(See Attached)

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

2022-139

I AM FILING A COMPLAINT AGAINST

FOR FAILING TO

APPLY RELEVANT STATUTES AND JUDICIAL PROCESS WHILE ALLOWING

BLATANTLY ERROR-FILLED

DECISIONS TO STAND IN MY

PRO SE PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

REFUSED TO ACKNOWLEDGE AND APPLY

THRU

CLOSE SCRUTINY

†

FULLY ACKNOWLEDGES AND IS ALLOWING THE APPLICATION AND

IMPLEMENTATION OF

EQUAL PROTECTION DUE PROCESS AND FAIRNESS CANNOT BE FOUND

IN

DENIAL OF MY PRO SE PETITION.

THIS

2  
IS A VIOLATION OF CANON TWO, CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT, IMPARTIALITY  
AND FAIRNESS.

I HAVE PROVIDED THE COMMISSION WITH A COPY OF THE LAW AND  
ARGUMENTS PORTION OF MY PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AND  
THE COPIES OF THE  
APPENDIX A.

I STRONGLY REQUEST THAT ACTION OR INACTION IN  
CONSIDERING MY PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BE GROUNDS FOR  
DISBARMENT. THIS LACK OF APPROPRIATE AND PROFESSIONAL  
CONDUCT ALLOWS FOR THE UNCONSTITUTIONALLY INFIRM, ILLEGAL  
AND IMMORAL GRANTING INFLUENCE OF A

(THE ARIZONA CONSTITUTION EMPOWERS EACH  
HIS OR HER INDIVIDUAL CHOICE TO TO GRANT WRITS OF

3

(HAB As corrupts.)

## APPENDIX A

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**