

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-140

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

February 16, 2023

The Complainant alleged improper rulings by a superior court judge hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Roger D. Barton did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 16, 2023.

Comp  
2022-140

To JUDGES COMMISSION,

My NAME IS \_\_\_\_\_ I WANTED  
To FILE A COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ OUT  
OF \_\_\_\_\_ COUNTY - \_\_\_\_\_ COURT HOUSE.  
JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ IS VIOLATING MY RIGHT TO  
A FAIR TRIAL. SHE REFUSES TO GIVE ME ADEQUATE COUNSEL,  
AND ON \_\_\_\_\_ HEARING JUDGE  
REFUSED TO ALLOW ME TO FIRE MY ATTORNEY \_\_\_\_\_ OF  
\_\_\_\_\_ COUNTY \_\_\_\_\_ OFFICE. JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ WAS  
GOING TO REPLACE \_\_\_\_\_ WITH ANOTHER ATTORNEY FROM  
THE \_\_\_\_\_ I ADVISED HER I WOULD NOT TAKE  
ANY MORE \_\_\_\_\_ OUT OF \_\_\_\_\_ COUNTY. I EXPLAINED TO  
HER HOW NONE OF THESE ATTORNEYS HELPED ME FIGHT THESE  
CHARGES. THE ONE ATTORNEY THEY DID GIVE ME FILED A MOTION  
FOR VINDICTIVE PROSECUTION. SHORT TIME LATER HE WAS REMOVED  
OFF MY CASE BY THE \_\_\_\_\_ OFFICE. SINCE THAT ATTORNEY  
I HAVE BEEN GIVEN TWO ATTORNEYS NOW WHO HAVE DONE NOTHING  
FOR MY CASE, JUST WASTE TIME AND RUN DOWN MY TIME CLOCK.  
I'M SCHEDULED FOR TRIAL IN \_\_\_\_\_ OF THIS \_\_\_\_\_.

I REQUESTED TO GO PRO-PER, JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ DENIED  
MY RIGHT ACCESS THE COURT. BY THIS JUDGE KEEPING THEIR  
INEFFECTIVE COUNSEL ON MY CASES, THEY ARE HINDERING ME  
AND BLOCKING MY ACCESS TO THE COURTS. JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ THEN  
ORDERED A COMPETENCY HEARING ON \_\_\_\_\_ AND ORDERED  
ME TO APPEAR IN PERSON. I ADVISED HER I WAS NOT GOING TO  
COME IN BECAUSE I AM IN FEAR OF MY LIFE. TWICE NOW I'VE BEEN  
ATTACKED BY \_\_\_\_\_ COUNTY SHERIFFS. SHE DIDN'T SEEM TO CARE  
AND ORDERED ME IN - STATING THAT "SHE'S THE JUDGE."

THIS IS THE THIRD JUDGE TO "ORDER" ME INTO COURT. JUDGE ORDERED ME FOR FINGERPRINTS THAT I HAD ALREADY DONE. I FILED A MOTION ALONG WITH THE PROOF TO SHOW I HAD ALREADY DONE THOSE FINGERPRINTS. THE COURT DID NOT FILE THIS MOTION. I HAVE BEEN APPEARING FOR ALL MY COURT DATES VIA PHONE, AS I AM OUT OF TOWN AT LEAST AWAY. AFTER I FILED THAT MOTION AND SENT THE EVIDENCE, I DID NOT HEAR ANYTHING FURTHER ABOUT THE MATTER.

THEN I APPEARED BEFORE JUDGE AND SHE ALSO STATED ON RECORD SHE WANTED ME TO COME IN TO "SEE MY FACE." I ALSO CALLED IN FOR THE COURT DATE SHE SET.

NOW THAT I AM APPEARING BEFORE JUDGE SHE IS NOW ORDERING ME TO COME IN FOR COMPETENCY HEARING. THAT'S DIFFERENT JUDGES, DIFFERENT EXCUSES. PERHAPS THIS COURT HAS NEFARIOUS INTENTIONS TOWARDS

I AM SENDING A COPY OF MY 'MOTION TO DISMISS' TO THIS COMMISSION. ALL I AM ASKING FOR IS FAIR TRIAL AND I AM CLEARLY NOT GETTING IT IN COUNTY. IF THESE CASES HAD MERIT, THEN COUNTY SHOULD HAVE NO PROBLEM SENDING THESE CASES OUT OF COUNTY. I THANK THIS COMMISSION FOR ITS TIME & CONSIDERATION.

SINCERELY,

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION REFERS TO THE ABILITY OF AN INDIVIDUAL OR GROUP OF INDIVIDUALS TO EXPRESS THEIR BELIEFS, THOUGHTS, IDEAS, AND EMOTIONS ABOUT DIFFERENT ISSUES FREE FROM GOVERNMENT CENSORSHIP.

OFFICERS REFUSED TO ANSWER US, OFFICER STATED "DON'T WORRY ABOUT IT, THAT IS WHEN STOOD UP AND STATED "I WILL WORRY ABOUT IT" BY AND BOTH QUESTIONING THE VALIDITY OF THE WARRANT, WE WERE PROTECTED BY THE FIRST AMENDMENT. EVERYONE HAS THE RIGHT TO FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION. THIS RIGHT SHALL INCLUDE FREEDOM TO HOLD OPINIONS AND TO RECEIVE AND IMPART INFORMATION AND IDEAS WITHOUT INTERFERENCE BY AND REGARDLESS OF FRONTIERS.

I WAS UNDER THE BELIEF THAT I HAD SATISFIED ALL WARRANTS BECAUSE I WAS ARRESTED ON AROUND THE TIME OF MIDNIGHT IN 1 FOR WARRANTS. I SEEN A JUDGE AND WAS RELEASED ON 1 REFER TO EXHIBIT J

BY INVOKING OUR RIGHTS IN MANNER THAT THEY DID NOT LIKE, MULTIPLE MALE OFFICERS CAME UP FROM BEHIND AND YANKED HER AWAY FROM AND THESE OFFICERS PRECEDED TO THROW HER TO THE FLOOR ON HER STOMACH. WAS SCREAMING THE WHOLE TIME "GET OFF ME, I'M PREGNANT." NOT ONLY WAS PREGNANT BUT SHE IS PARTIALLY BLIND AND AND HEARING IMPAIRED, AND PHYSICALLY DISABLED.