

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-148

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 1, 2023

The Complainant alleged biased and improper legal decisions by a superior court judge hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton and Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 1, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2022-148****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Well My first thing I would like to bring up is The Judge Is one that has to stay or be unbiased but how unbiased can you be when she has fought for stopping child or is an Advocate for that kind of stuff so her judgement is clouded towards the side of convicting someone. It shows in what evidence was about and what was not then it shows even further when my Attorney made objections and she overruled them and only objection The State made she sustained them. with one objection my Attorney made in the same time ~~frame~~ frame and the Judge just overruled them and the State got to ask a question that had no merit or Any foundation to ask. so as I was saying per Rule 2.2 on Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct The Judge shall Perform all duties fairly and impartially. There was nothing fair about the admission of evidence and the rejection of exonerative evidence and there is nothing impartially about how she used aggravating circumstances with comments she made about the victim going with To her not being unbiased with her Advice she sides with.