State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-167
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

August 17, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings during his Rule 11 proceeding in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 17, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-167

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
what you believe the judge did that constit times, and places that will help the comm	er of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words tutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, ission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached at court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and dis.
The	Not follow
or LAW.	AN Or origin of information
with Arizona 6	ow or origin of information
IN other word	1 & this whole procedure is of contains FAbrication.
Fraudulant on	1 contains FABRICATION.
This problem he	s been going on since
I moved to	and I
PLAN ON	ALSO. I was assourted
<u>by</u>	2
I PLAN ON	
	
MARKET STATE OF THE STATE OF TH	

COURT OF ARIZONA COUNTY

MINUTE ENTRY					
STATE OF ARIZONA,	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.			
VS.	*				
	Defendant.				

COURT RULE HEARING / INITIAL APPEARANCE ON

ZOOM APPEARANCES: The State is represented by Defendant is appearing, in

This matter comes before the Court for Initial Appearance on

Defendant is advised of the charges.

is currently attempting to get to conduct a virtual evaluation with the Defendant while he is in custody. State is anxious for the examination from to move forward.

On the Court's own motion and without objection,

ORDERED setting

Court Rule

Review Hearing for

before

Counsel may appear via Zoom for the above hearing.

Defendant requests to be released on his own recognizance for reasons as stated on the record. Mr. requests that the Court reconsider release conditions. State believes the current release conditions are appropriate, and requests that the Court affirm them. The Court makes no change to release conditions.

Conditions of release and consequences for failure to comply with those conditions are stated for the record. Defendant acknowledges an understanding of release conditions.

Defendant is returned to the custody of the Sheriff.

COURT

COUNTY

Date: .

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,					
vs.	Case No(s)	The second secon			
Defendant.					
ORDER OF					
Defendant has been ordered to report to the	County on				
court-ordered incarceration.		m. to begin			
Comment:					
☐ Defendant is remanded to the custody of the					
Comment:					
Defendant is work release eligible.					
<u></u>					
ORDER OF RELEASE					
You are hereby ordered to release and dischar	rne from custody Defendant for the	reason			
that		/			
Next hearing date:	Time:				

MODIFICATION OF RELEASE CONDITIONS					
Release Conditions are modified as follows:					
This order pertains to the ab	ove case number only.				