State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-170
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

May 12, 2023

The Complainant alleged conflicts of interest and bias by a superior court judge hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton, Michael J. Brown, and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 12, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-170

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
times, and places that will help t	plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words nat constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and our records.
from	
The following	TOOK Place:
My first Lo	adjures will not by lattnesses
athough	of them said that she had
witnessed im	propriety in the chambers between
Judge.	and My
Mother lugs	also a withness she is now
decleased. M	+ Lawter at present will give
WHYPESSE TO -	fine issues that are attached
to this do	ceiment. At his discretion he
Will be a	withess to some of the
complaints,	I believe this Judge used
. 1	<u> </u>
+ Abust of o	discretion
* talse state	ments
* Impropriet	
* MISCONDUCT	1 Corruption
x 15105	
* tavortism	to opposing side
* Religious f	545 Z
Judy	and appearant other
Are Alleged	Friends who together go
Filede	+00016-00
in the 50	
I was t	ame old by an Attorney who wow
1 0000	axi my disc that he would

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's N	ame:	
what you believe the judge times, and places that will	m or plain paper of the same size to f did that constitutes judicial misconduc help the commission understand your inals) of relevant court documents. Ple t for your records.	t. Be specific and list all	of the names, dates,
ontryed-> n	of take my cas	e because	ne
Mould not	win against	Judge	and
his friend	}	. I	have
Mard CI	milar State Mer	Hs from	affer
Lawyers.			
			31
H is pas	sible that on		
Judge			4
Petroner		, Met	topther
and s	soll before the	hearing.	
	£		*
<u>On</u>		Judie 1	
Mocked	My Attorney		and
I and	used intimident	1017. He a	learly
<u>tavored</u>	the oppossing	side and	alaired
the pe	tioner to per	Jur him	Self
Withau	+ restraint a	hd. Pleas	e Also
Sll	attached docu	ments.	
The)	udied Mocked u	is about -	tiling
an a	ippeal and said	I we ho	ld not
filed	BIY, before for	- Other 155	UES.
			3TC

STATE OF ARIZONA

v.	Appellant/Respondent,)))) County Superior Court) Case No.
	Appellee/Petitioner)))

APPELLANTS' REPLY BRIEF

Telephone:

E-mail:

Attorney for Appellant/Respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Citations
Introduction
Statement of Facts
Statement of the Issues
Argument
Conclusion
Certificate of Service
Certificate of Compliance

TABLE OF CITATIONS

CASES

Strait v. Strait, 223 Ariz. 500, ¶ 6, 224 P.3d 997 (App. 2010)

Owen v. Blackhawk, 206 Ariz. 418, 79 P.3d 667 (App. 2003)

Palmer v. Palmer, 217 Ariz. 67, 170 P.3d 676 (App. 2007)

Kimu P. v Ariz. Dep't of Econ. Sec., 218 Ariz. 39 (App. 2008)

Smith v. Smith, 235 Ariz. 181, 330 P.3d 371 (Ariz. App. 2014)

Johnson v. Johnson, 131 Ariz. 38, 45, 638 P.2d 705, 712 (1981)

In re Marriage of Ramsay, 224 Ariz. 467, 232 P.3d 1249 (Ariz. App. 2010)

McFadden v. Watson, 51 Ariz. 110, 74 P.2d 1181 (Ariz. 1938)

COURT DOCUMENTS

ORDER dated

ORDER dated

MINUTE ENTRY dated

RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER... Dated

TRANSCRIPT date

RESPONDENT'S PRETRIAL STATEMENT... Dated

Pet. Pretrial Statement,

Pet. Amended Motion...

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.