State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-178
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

April 5, 2023

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to address multiple instances of perjury during a family court hearing.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 5, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

22-178

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your or words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additionages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one so of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	nal
On me and my ex husband had a court hearing addressing Mr. parenting time after he was found to be helping a wanted class 3 registered child sex offender evade police and bringing my children around this child sex offender.	ler
In court, under oath, Mr. was found to have lied on several occasions. All of which were proved by evidence. appointed a court investigator to give her professional opinion and recommendations on the situation. The investigator found Mr. to have lied to her as well and she viewed him, his family and his living situation to be unfit and unsafe for the children.	∌d
After hearing all testimonies, seeing all evidence and then doing her own investigation on some things the were said in the trial sent out her ruling via mail. In her ruling she found even more evident that not only Mr. but all of his witnesses had lied under oath and were not fit. She did not perjure them or hold them accountable for lying under oath instead, she gave Mr. everythin he asked for during the trial. She gave him the custody schedule he personally asked for, and not the outhat was highly suggested by the court investigator and she waved all financial responsibilities by him for the children.	ng ne
During the hearing, Mr. was asked if he knew the man he had living around our children was registered child sex offender. He claimed he did not find out that he was a sex offender until when the wanted sex offender was arrested. There was evidence provided in the same hearing the knew he was a sex offender in and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and that the sex offender was not arrested until and the sex offender was not arrested was not arrested until and the sex offender was not arrested was not arrested was not arrested was not arrested when the sex offender was not arrested when the sex o	nat
Mr. and all of his witnesses claimed that they had not seen the sex offender since but Mr. family was found to have bailed him out of jail in	of
Mr. mother and witness lied under oath, stating she hasn't seen the sex offender since of . but then was found to still employ him up to the hearing date in of	
Mr. sister, witness and the individual that Mr. states he supposedly lives with and had our children living with claimed not to have anything to do with the sex offender since but so was the one who signed for his bail in	
It was my understanding that lying under oath was a penalty. This was stated before we even began th trial. How this judge operates her court room, is unprofessional and disrespectful to the court system. S and these individuals should be held accountable for not upholding the law.	e he

CONFIDENTIAL
Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduc
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		
	FFICE U	FFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name.	Judge's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the words what you believe the judge did that constitute will below the graph of the grap	same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own tes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the ommission understand your concerns. Additional pages relevant court documents. Please complete one side of ur records.