

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-192

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

March 20, 2023

The Complainant alleged a delayed ruling by a superior court judge considering a petition for post-conviction relief.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 20, 2023.

To: CJC

Comp  
2022-192

FROM:

#

RE: Judge

County

Violation: Ariz. CJC R. 2.7 --- Responsibility  
to decide

Authority: A.R.S. Const. Art. VI, § 21 ("Every  
matter submitted to a judge of the  
superior court for his decision SHALL be  
decided within sixty days from the date  
of submission thereof")

Facts: Through counsel, \_\_\_\_\_,  
, I submitted a petition for  
PCR, pursuant to Ariz. R. Crim. P. 32.1 on  
, The \_\_\_\_\_ declined to file  
a response within the statutory 45 days (Rule  
32.9(a)(1)), neither did the \_\_\_\_\_ request  
an extension to file its response. As such,  
the fully briefed petition has been pending  
for well over the sixty day decision  
mandate.

Dated:

Respectfully submitted