# State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-203					
Judges:					
Complainant:					

#### **ORDER**

May 18, 2023

The Complainant alleged four superior court judicial officers conspired in a civil case to deprive him of his property and violated his due process.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 18, 2023.

Comp

## 2022-203

### ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Case #

Superior Court

Plaintiff,

Case #

V.

COMPLAINT FOR

SUPERIOR COURT

CRIMINAL MISCONDUCT

Defendant.

1. Now comes

in peace, within time, with

first hand knowledge, of legal age, of sound mind and competent, in

Good Faith, in honor, and the beneficiary of

Superior

Court Trust No.

and a non-fiduciary agent for

the Plaintiff,

who files a

COMPLAINT pursuant to Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution and respectfully moves the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct to correct Defendant's willful violation of Title 18 U.S.C. § 4 and 18 U.S.C.

§ 2382, and	Complaint is support	rted by	the	following	alleged		
memorandums of points and authorities;							
Respectfully submitt	1	and		,			
A	ffirmed by	,					

#### MEMORANDUMS OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

#### JURISDICTION

2. The Commission on judicial Conduct has jurisdiction pursuant Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution;

#### STATEMENTS OF THE CASE

#### PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS

- 3. About Plaintiff, equested the recording of closing document, a certificate of acknowledgment in affidavit form, with the acceptance formality for all the terms and condition described in the offers of those grant deeds (71-22525, 82-22348, 87-4924) in in fulfilling the lawful requirements of contract, acknowledgment and its recording pursuant to A.R.S. Title 33 §§ 401(D) and 412(A);
- 4. About the called on the telephone and informed that the will not update the records showing the Grantee's right, title and freehold ownership interest in those land estates described in those grant deeds in without a court order;

5. About

mails a certified letter giving

did

and fair warning for updating the records showing the

Grantee's freehold ownership in that property described in those grant deeds by virtue of recording

6. The records shows in

which provides the evidence that

not update the records showing the Grantee's

ownership in those grant deeds in

- a breach of duties and a breach of oath of Office, which is causing the injuries that are damaging in violation of A.R.S. title 42 § 13051(A) and (B)(1);
- 7. files an application for waiver of fees with the clerk of the Superior Court;"
- 8. the Superior Court grants Fee waiver;"
- 9. files a petition for a special action for a Writ of

  Mandamus and a certificate of compulsory arbitration document
  ordering the for performing the manifest
  duties of the assessor's office pursuant to A.R.S. title 42 § 13051(A) and
  (B)(1);"

Judge of the

Superior Court signs an administrative order # substituting the new Commissioner/Judge Pro Tem,

replacing the Honorable

in case number

for but fails in notifying

of the assignment;

files first amended petition for a Writ of Mandamus

with a statutory special action ("cause of action");

- 11. service of summons and a copy of cause of action and a copy of the certificate of Compulsory Arbitration is hand delivered by over the age of to an office specialist for the who is over the age of and agreesto deliver the documents to
- 12. files proof of service on defendant by
- 13. the Honorable of the

Superior Court, also unaware of the

Superior Court administrative order

assigning case number

for the new

Commissioner/Judge Pro Tem,

files a Court

notice/order/Ruling, notifying that "

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.