## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-206				
Judges:				
Complainant:				

### **ORDER**

September 28, 2022

The Complainant alleged improper rulings by superior court judges and a justice of the peace hearing criminal matters.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 28, 2022.

CO	NE	IDE	'NI'	TTA	7

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
	<u>-</u>			

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:			
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.				
Prosecuting Attorney and State of Arizona; are esca	alating charges;			
beyond what is realistic.  I believe County Prosecuting Attorneys; an are persecuting in an intense vindictive management.				
NONE OF THESE CHARGES: NOR ACCUSATION NONE REPRESENT A Gate; blocking the r				
* See attached documents: This is Part of the complaint.				
More Legal Court Papers to be scanned and attachenas almost NO COURT PAPERWORK.				
denlovments				
Second Persecution from same group of This is year of continuous vexant litigation from	·om			

# CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				

#### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge did that constit names, dates, times, and places that will help the	e same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own utes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages f relevant court documents. Please complete one side of your records.
Prosecuting Attorney and State of Arizona; are esc beyond what is realistic. I believe County Prosecuting Attorneys; a are persecuting in an intense vindictive in	nd the Courts; and the State of Az Courts;
NONE OF THESE CHARGES NOR ACCUSATIONONE REPRESENT A State; blocking the	NS; NOR COURT CASES; middle of the road.
*See attached documents: This is Part of the complaint.	
More Legal Court Papers to be scanned and attack	ned.

Second Persecution from same
This is year of continuous vexant litigation from

ias almost NO COURT PAPERWORK.□

ASSESSOR'S DECISION	FULL CASH VALUE \$	LIMITED PROPERTY VALUE \$	PROPERTY CLASS	ASMT RATIO
BASIS FOR DECISION	ON:			
			·	
DATE RECEIVED	DATE DECISION MAILED	REVIEWED BY	ASSESSOR	OR CHIEF DEPUTY
BOARD OF EQUALIZATION DECISION	FULL CASH VALUE \$	LIMITED PROPERTY VALUE \$	PROPERTY CLASS	ASMT RATIO
BASIS FOR DECISION	DN:			
BASIS FOR DECISION	DN:			
BASIS FOR DECISION	ON:			·

### PURSUANT TO A.R.S. TITLE 42, Ch. 16, Art. 3 and Ch. 16, Art. 1-5

FILED FOR TAX YEAR

ASSESSOR'S DECISION	FULL CASH VALUE	\$	UMITED PROPERTY VALUE \$	PROPERTY CLASS	ASMT RATIO
BASIS FOR DECISI	ON:				
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del> .
		•			
DATE RECEIVED		DATE DECISION MAILED	REVIEWED BY	ASSESSOR	OR CHIEF DEPUTY
BOARD OF EQUALIZATION DECISION	FULL CASH VALUE	\$	LIMITED PROPERTY VALUE \$	PROPERTY CLASS	ASMT RATIO
BASIS FOR DECISION	ON;				
		<del></del>			······································
<u> </u>				·	
DATERECEIVED		DATE DECISION MAILED	CHARMAN OR CHERK OF THE		

Copy[]mailed []provided personal Copy[]mailed []provided personal	ly to Plaintiff on / / by Iy to Defendant on / /	by	<del></del>
1			

•

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.