State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-219

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 20, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly granted an injunction against workplace harassment.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 20, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

22 - 219

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: ______ Judge's Name ______ Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Just curious how Judge failed to realize that if it were a harasser why it took instances before - a non-binary by the way - told her employer and took action. My actions on those emails which are protected under (Section 230;), seemed welcome to me by

Also, I also received Denial of Counsel to self-litigate this when the Judge court knew they had capability - for me the defendant - the entire time. This is effectively entrapment because I'm bi-sexual myself. That's right, the is in play here under disability and sexual orientation. I was never off my medication. My mother gave them to me religiously. I am a descendant of a . You can find everything in Case Number # . And what is a Rule , anyway?

2

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Denial of Counsel; Breached my 1st Amendment rights by violating Section 230;

). Also violated the under disability and sexual orientation. I was on medication at the time being overseen by my bi-sexual. Injunction Against Workplace Harassment OPY ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED Case No. Injunction Against Workplace Court ORI No. Harassment County State AZ [] Amended Order PLAINTIFF EMPLOYER AUTHORIZED AGENT And on behalf of all Protected Person listed below: V. DEFENDANT IDENTIFIERS DEFENDANT First Middle Last Defendant/Plaintiff Relationship: Other. Defendant's Address: CAUTION: [] Weapon Alleged in Petition [] Estimated Date of Birth WARNINGS TO DEFENDANT: This injunction shall be enforced, even without registration, by the courts of any state, the District of Columbia, any U.S. Territory, and may be enforced by Tribal Lands (18 U.S.C. § 2265). Crossing state, territorial or tribal boundaries to violate this injunction may result in federal imprisonment (18 U.S.C. § 2262). Only the Court, in writing, can change this injunction. This injunction is effective for one year from date of service. THE COURT HEREBY FINDS THAT: It has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter. [] Defendant received actual notice of this Hearing and had an opportunity to participate. THE COURT, finding reasonable evidence of workplace harassment or that great or irreparable harm would result if this injunction is not granted before Defendant can be heard in opposition, and there are specific facts attesting to the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons why notice should not be given, HEREBY ORDERS: [x] NO CONTACT. Defendant shall have no contact with Plaintiff Employer or other person while that person is on or at employer's property or place of business or is performing official work duties, except through attorneys, legal process, court hearings, and as checked: [] Phone [] Email/Fax [] Mail [] Other: [x] NO CONTACT. Defendant shall have no contact with Protected Person, Maureen Perry, except through attorneys, legal process, court hearings, and as checked: [] Phone [] Email/Fax [] Mail [] Other: [x] NO CONTACT. Defendant shall have no contact with Protected Person, Amanda Barstow, except through attorneys, legal process, court hearings, and as checked: [] Phone [] Email/Fax [] Mail [] Other: THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS: PROTECTED LOCATIONS. Defendant shall not go to or near Plaintiff Employer's or other Protected Person's: [X] Workplace: [] Other: **OTHER ORDERS:**