# State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-223	
Judge:		
Complainants:		

#### **ORDER**

November 23, 2022

The Complainants alleged a superior court judge pro tem was biased and did not make appropriate accommodations for a legally deaf litigant.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 23, 2022.

## CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-223

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	Judge's name:	Date:
Instructions, Has this fam.		
Please describe in your own	or plain paper of the same size to file a comple	aint. Attach additional pages, as needed.
Trouse describe in your own	WULUS WHAL THE HUDGE SAID OF DID That You believe	Constitutes in disi-1
Include only copies of origin	be specific and list all of the names, dates, times	s and places where the conduct occurred.
one side of the paper only	nal documents or court recordings that are relev	ant to your allegations. Print or type on
we leel that this judge is t	and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.	44-41-1
	emserves, sutter fints of advise. He has men	tioned to them that he had not received any
	one of the defendants. That seems to be give	
because I am legally deaf.	He has been told this from day one. He feel	s lam boing bestile b
		Linderstand things had to about
wife and I decided to be pro		
C.A.R.T. system. Judge	transfer before and the ludge has stopped a	Ill proceedings to accommodate
deaf and needed a C.A.R.T	yone is saying. When we began our attorne	V told Judge many times that I was
with him to speak with me.	He told him it was ok Judgo	Judge asked our attorney if it was ok
he was saying. I told him I d	could not understand him unless I read his lip	eded to talk to me as if I could understand what
asked my wife and I to mov	e to the right table where I could read his lips	Trying to get my point across to him
him think that I was being h	ostile when all I was trying to do was answer	his questions and give him a back ground of
hecause of my hearing less	off stating I was stating facts that he did not	want to hear. I am not hostile, I speak loud
because of my hearing loss	. I have reports from the doctors that I have g	gone to to prove this fact if needed. Lastly,
ajurning, to speak with my a	wice that I could speak with my attorney after ttorney because I could not understand what	r the proceedings when I requested before
transcripts for these proceed	dings and once received we will be sending a	conv along with this complaint
		sopy along war this complaint.
1110 112.00 6	2 1 1 0 22	
we well D	e sending Cell (	Morning time
upon Rec	t. 1	
/		