

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-225

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

October 13, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge denied her right to be heard and made improper rulings in a family case

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 13, 2022.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-225

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge did not allow me enough time to prove my innocence from the false allegations set forth. He had not let me finish speaking. I had less time than the petitioner. He did not listen to any evidence that I had provided. He is helping the petitioner with his case. The Judge was not impartial. I have not seen my children in . I was given hours limited, supervised visits without "Just Cause" when I provided proof that the case was founded to be unsubstantial.

The evidence that he let me actually submit he did not acknowledge it. The petitioner made up lies with no proof behind it. The Judge made an off putting comment to me about going further with my test coming out clean.

I also put a motion for trial, it was ignored with several other orders of custody just to see my kids. What kind of conduct is this from a Judge being that the allegations proofs to be unsubstantial and to make allowance for the petitioner to cause affliction to my children by keeping

them away from their mother  
whom they have not spoken to  
or seen in <sup>in being</sup>  
their primary care giver for their  
whole lives. I don't understand  
a system that removes children  
from a safe environment and places  
them in ~~a~~ a dangerous one. With  
a judge who upholds that  
and <sup>placed with</sup>  
a man that has a history of drug  
abuse and convicted and charged  
with child endangerment with  
the very children that were  
placed in his home.