State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-234
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

October 12, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner made improper rulings in his criminal case and denied a request for new advisory counsel.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher W. Ames did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 12, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-234

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:	
words what you believe the judge di	n paper of the same size to file a condition of that constitutes judicial misconduct at will help the commission undersum the control of the complaint for your records.	t. Be specific and list all of the stand your concerns. Additiona
CONSIDERING ALL THE ISSUES IN IS UNABLE T	WILL BE MENTIONING IN THIS COM TO RULE ON THIS CASE I	IPLAINT I FEEL THAT JUDGE IMPARTIALLY.
Angry with me TELLING ME COURT ROOM(Out of Court Statement Threatened to take away my Pro Per	professional Towerds Me Allowing He TO STOP OBJECTING TO THE WIT ents)After Objecting to any inadmissib Status Proving PREJUDICE in favor a y rights to DUE PROCESS OF LAW a my Defence	NESS HEARSAY IN THE ble Hearsay Judge against me BIAS,PARTIALITY
Conflicts, Differences Being Unable to Has Been Dragging For Almost 3 full interviewed As of 2022 for this 2019	Relieve Advisory Council Get Along Stated on Record For Cha Years.And only Second hand knowle case is unexeptable and is a clear viol beedy Trial of Impatial Jury of Peers V	ange of Advisory.This Case dge Witnesses are just Being lation of the Defendants
Nearing and There Has BEEN NO IN	Refuses to Respond To Any of myons STRIKING/HARMING Anything in IJURED PARTY PRESENTED/No One Illegal Actions For this UNLAWFUL A Leaving Motions Unrebutted.If Party	Help With My Defence.Trial Is the who wish to Seek ARREST.State Repeatedly
ONCE AGAIN CONSIDERING ALL THONARABLE JUDGE	THE ISSUES MENTIONED IN THIS C IS UNABLE TO RULE(OMPLAINT.I FEEL THAT THE ON THIS CASE