

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-238

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

September 28, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge did not rule on a petition for post-conviction relief within sixty days as required by Arizona law.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 28, 2022.

Comp

2022-238

To Whom This May Concern:

I wrote a letter dated \_\_\_\_\_ explaining  
that my case \_\_\_\_\_ was assigned  
to Judge \_\_\_\_\_, who has violated  
Article VI Section 21 of the Arizona Constitution  
and Rule 91(e) of the Arizona Rules of the Supreme  
Court. It has been over \_\_\_\_\_ days and no ruling has  
been made in my case and all pleadings filed have  
also been neglected. I'm requesting that this Comm  
ission take action on my Complaint For Special Action  
I filed in the \_\_\_\_\_ County \_\_\_\_\_ Court. The  
complaint itself explains how my case and even all  
the pleadings filed in \_\_\_\_\_ have been  
neglected sense the case has been back in the  
Court. It would be greatly appreciated.