State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Judge:

Complainant

ORDER

September 28, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly granted attorney fees to the opposing party in a civil dispute.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Louis Frank Dominguez & Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 28, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE					
Name: Judge's Name:					
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.					

See Attached

CJC COMPLANT:

Ruling and Judgment

On issued a Ruling Denying Defendants'

Motion For Reconsideration and Objection to the Proposed Form of Order and Judge issued a Judgment in the amount of in Attorneys' fee to Plaintiff (see attached)

Judge Denied Defendants', Motion for Reconsideration (see attached).

Per Pages of the Motion:

OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFF'S FRAUDULENT APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COST

Application for Attorneys' Fees is Fraudulent

Plaintiff's Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs stated, "the Affidavit of (attached hereto as **Exhibit** A), and a detailed itemization of the hours and costs expended by this firm on the above-captioned matter (attached as **Exhibits 1, 2, and 3** to the Affidavit of). This Application is only for this matter and does not include fees and costs for the bankruptcy matter, the appeal, and case <u>currently before Judge</u> *" This is a fraudulent* statement. (see Exhibit 1) The Affidavit claims that the entire amount of in Attorneys' Fees are against the Defendants/Judgment Debtors, only. This is a fraudulent statement. Plaintiff's Affidavit of does, in fact, contain Attorneys' fees for Collection against Defendant, filing on is the amount of

Plaintiff's Affidavit of		does con	does contain Attorneys' fees against			
Defendant,		after filing	Coi	ırt Case	in	
the amount o	<i>f</i> .					
Plaint	iff's Affidavit of		does con	tain Attorneys' fees fo	or	
Attorneys' fee	es in	currently before	e Judge	in the amount of		
Plaintiff's Affidavit of		actually o	only shows	for		
Attorneys' Fe	ees against the "	trespass/tort cla	im" defenda	ints		
which could	not be awarded	under	wh	nich is for <u>contract cl</u>	aims only.	
We presented	clear evidence wi	thin Plaintiff's Att	corney	own	,	
Affidavit, that	worth of Att	orneys Fees was f	or Collection	efforts against Defend	lant,	
ONLY, including but not limited to: garnishments of		bank account;	use of			
building as a "Bond"; Lis Pendens filed against		building; and the W	rit of Execution to			
sell	building at a Sh	neriff's Sale. Thes	se Attorneys'	Fees were NOT agains	t Defendants,	
We presented	clear evidence wi	thin Plaintiff's Att	orney	own		
Affidavit, that	worth of Atto	rneys Fees was fo	r Collection	efforts against Defenda	nt,	
ONLY, after	filed	d Bankruptcy on		within BK Case		
including but	not limited to: Att	orneys' Fees in re	lation to	, the Bar	nkruptcy attorney	
for	ONLY; work on	the Bankruptcy Se	ection	against	ONLY	
within Bankruptcy Co	ourt; work on the	Bankruptcy Court	t Hearings of	and	l	
; and Fees in rela	ation to	, another B	ankruptcy att	torney for	ONLY. These	
Attorneys' Fee were N	NOT against Defe	ndants,				
Judge	Denied Defendan	nts', (Objection to I	Proposed Form of Orde	er.	
The Plaintiff's	, N	otice of Lodging	Proposed For	rm of Judgment, stated	"Pursuant to the	
Court's Ruling filed	, Pla	intiff,	, by and t	hrough undersigned co	ounsel, hereby gives	
notice that he has lod	ged with the Cou	rt this date and at	tached hereto	o as Exhibit 1, a Propos	sed Form of	
Judgment against De	fendants, Defend	ants,			(see attached)	

However, the Final Judgment dated

awarded ALL Attorneys' Fees against Defendants,

ONLY.

Judge violated the Rules of Judicial Conduct by awarding Attorneys' Fees in the amount of

against Defendants, ONLY, when the evidence was clear and convincing

that those Attorneys' Fees were against Defendant. Judge violated the

Bankruptcy Court Protection by awarding Attorneys' Fees in the amount of when the evidence was

clear and convincing that those Attorneys' Fees were against Defendant, and that

> is in Bankruptcy Case Judge circumvented the automatic Stay of

Bankruptcy Court by attributing Attorneys' Fees against ONLY. This was a to the

violation of the automatic STAY under § 362 of the Bankruptcy Code, 11, U.S.C. 362. Judge violated

the spirit of the law. Judge violated RJC 1.1 Compliance with the Law, RJC 1.2 Promoting

Confidence in the Judiciary, and violated RJC 2.2 Impartiality and Fairness.

Suits in other Courts and other actions taken in violation of the automatic Bankruptcy Stay are generally

void.

Judge awarded Attorneys' Fees directly related to Case before Judge

County Court. Judge violated RJC 1.1 Compliance with the Law, RJC at the

1.2 Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary, and violated RJC 2.2 Impartiality and Fairness.

Please let me know if there are any other documents I can provide.

CASE NO.

DATE:

Counter Plaintiff/Plaintiff(s)

VS.

Counter Defendant/Defendant(s)

RULING

IN CHAMBERS UNDER ADVISEMENT

At issue in this Under Advisement Ruling is Plaintiff's Request for Attorneys' Fees and Costs filed on and the Supplement Request for Attorneys' Fees and Costs filed on

Plaintiff's initial application asks for an award of attorney's fees in the amount of and costs in the amount of for a total of for the time period between and plaintiff's supplemental application asks for an award of in attorney's fees in attending a supplemental debtors' examination on which the Defendants did not attend, despite having had notice of same. Plaintiff also seeks costs in the supplemental application in the amount of for a total of

The court has reviewed the motion, response and reply, and considered the arguments of the parties, and finds and rules as follows.

ATTORNEYS' FEES

Arizona Revised Statutes 12-341.01 provides:

"A. In any contested action arising out of a contract, express or implied, the court may award the successful party reasonable attorney fees. If a written settlement offer is rejected and the judgment finally obtained is equal to or more favorable to the offeror than an offer made in writing to settle any contested action arising out of a contract, the offeror is deemed to be the successful party from the date of the offer and the court may award the successful party reasonable attorney fees. This section shall not be construed as altering, prohibiting or restricting present or future contracts or statutes that may provide for attorney fees.

Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 12-341.01.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.