State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

| | Disposition of Complaint 22-247 |
|--------------|---------------------------------|
| Judge: | |
| Complainant: | |

ORDER

September 28, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in a dependency case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 28, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Name:

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-247

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name:

| - |
|---|
| Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records. |
| 1) RULING GNDANGERS CHILDREN |
| 2) ARROGANT tO THE ENSUNGERMENT OF CHILDREN |
| 3) VIOLATED CODE OF JUDICIAL CONSULT |
| 4) FAILURE TO HOUSE A PARTY SPEAKE OR BE HEARD DURING REPRESENTATION |
| Duto Ses ATTRUTUD LETTER. FOR DETAILS. |

To whom it may concern:

I'm writing to you today on behalf of my grandchildren Specifically, case number. What transpired during the pre- trial hearings and the final trial is the epitome of ineptitude. By both Judge

During the pre-trial hearings Judge was in total control. During these hearings she ordered both my son and daughter in lav o obtain drug tests proof of income, and a lease agreement. None of those requirements were met by either one. In fact, only appeared in the in one of the Zoom hearings. Judge moved her trial to ordered her to attend counseling through and comply with drug testing. That was not completed as well.

Also, in one of the hearings, my son's ex-girlfriend testified that she provided money and transportation to obtain drugs as well as using drugs.

claimed he was in The day of the trial my Sons attorney requested a continuance because a car accident and was suffering from a He was asked to and ordered provide proof which he did not. Judge I granted a continuance to All reported proof of the accident be provided. I called on the date of to me that there is no record of any of those agencies being in contact with the supposed accident. It is my contention that the continuance was requested solely on the fact that was to be rotated out from the case and Judge attorney knew that Judge would take the case.

The trial took place or refused to allow the petitioner or her attorney speak or ask questions. As the trial continued, it became apparent that was ill-prepared.

- 1. Brent testified that he last used drugs in the year iailed to mention the testimony of ex-girlfriend.
- failed to follow up on the proof of the supposed car accident.
- 3. He completely failed to communicate effectively regarding the fictitious lease agreement that was provided by
- 4. failed to provide both a drug test and proof of income failed to address those with a sense of urgency and importance.
- 5. FAILED to build a foundation of the lies that were told by during the previous hearings. Had he done so, perhaps Judge would have ruled differently.

Judge The fact that he would allow to provide:

- 1. No proof of drug test
- 2. No proof of income
- 3. No proof of a valid lease agreement
- No proof of being involved in an accident.
- 5. Did not consider testimony of ex-girlfriend

And yet, he ruled in favor of

He has put the health and safety of my grandchildren in jeopardy. He failed in all aspects of this case. It is obvious that Judge did not take the time to prepare for the case. It was simply "get this case off my calendar" He must be reprimanded!

You often hear how messed up this "system" is. Unfortunately, the public really doesn't pay attention to the horror stories because it really doesn't affect them. Well, that is going to change. The entire system is broken. We as grandparents, will be contacting the media to share light of what actually goes on.